

**NF VALIDATION - Validation of alternative analysis methods  
Application to the water industry**

**Summary report  
according to the Validation protocol for an alternative commercial method**

**Quantitative method**

***iQ-Check™ Legionella spp.  
Attestation n° BRD 07/15-12/07  
for detection and quantification of Legionella spp  
in all types of water***

**Expert laboratory:**

Laboratoire MICROSEPT  
ZA de la Sablonnière 15 rue Denis Papin  
49220 LE LION D'ANGERS  
FRANCE

**For:**

BIO-RAD  
3 boulevard Raymond Poincaré  
92430 MARNES-LA-COQUETTE  
FRANCE

This report contains 45 pages including 26 pages of appendices.  
The reproduction of this document is only authorized in its entirety.

## Preamble

### - Studied method:

- iQ-Check™ *Legionella* spp.

### - Protocols of validation:

- Validation protocol for commercial methods of detection and quantification of *Legionella* and *Legionella pneumophila* by concentration and gene amplification by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) V3.0.

### - Reference method:

- **NF T90-471 (June 2015):** Water quality - Detection and quantification of *Legionella* and/or *Legionella pneumophila* by concentration and genic amplification by real time polymerase chain reaction (qPCR).
- **SO/TS 12869 (April 2019):** Water quality - Detection and quantification of *Legionella* spp. and/or *Legionella pneumophila* by concentration and genic amplification by quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR).
- Validation protocol for commercial methods of detection and quantification of *Legionella* and *Legionella pneumophila* by concentration and gene amplification by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) V3.0.

### - Application scope:

- All types of water.

### - Certification body:

- **AFNOR Certification** (<https://nf-validation.afnor.org/en/>).

## Table of contents

1	Introduction .....	4
2	Review of changes in the alternative method since the previous validation .....	4
2.1	History of validation .....	4
2.2	Review of changes in the alternative method .....	7
2.3	Review of user complaints about method .....	7
3	Methods Protocols .....	7
3.1	Principle of alternative method .....	7
3.2	Reference of protocol .....	8
3.3	Restrictions .....	8
3.4	Reference method .....	9
4	Summary of results .....	9
4.1	Comparative study .....	9
4.1.1	Fitting the calibration and the reference material to the primary standard .....	9
4.1.2	Study of the calibration function of the quantitative PCR step .....	10
4.1.3	Limit of detection .....	13
4.1.4	Limit of quantification .....	14
4.1.5	Positivity threshold .....	15
4.1.6	Study of the yield and robustness .....	15
4.1.7	Selectivity: inclusivity and exclusivity .....	16
4.1.8	Practicability .....	17
4.2	Inter-laboratory study .....	17
4.2.1	Methodology .....	17
4.2.2	Results .....	18
4.2.3	Conclusion .....	18
5	General conclusions .....	19

## Appendices

Appendix A: Fitting to the primary standard

Appendix B: Calibration function

Appendix C: Limit of detection

Appendix D: Limit of quantification

Appendix E: Yield and robustness

Appendix F: Selectivity

# 1 Introduction

iQ-Check™ *Legionella* spp and iQ-Check™ *Legionella pneumophila* kits were validated in 2007. Then, they were renewed in 2011, 2015, 2019 and extended in 2012, 2020 and 2023.

In 2025, Bio-Rad extend the use of this method on their new CFX Duet Real-Time PCR System and the implementation of new version, 4.0, of the CFX Maestro IDE software.

## 2 Review of changes in the alternative method since the previous validation

### 2.1 History of validation

#### **2007:**

- The method was initially validated in 2007.

#### **2011:**

- 2010/211 study for renewal of validation considered the modifications of validated kit and of validation protocol (renewal n°1 considering norm NF T90-471 published in April 2010).
- A third-party study has focused on two first phases of validation protocol aiming to verify supplier announced performances for new formulation of iQ-Check™ *Legionella* spp. kit:
  - Study of limit of detection and limit of quantification of PCR step, calibrating function, link to primary standard, efficiency and robustness of extraction with Aquadien™ kit. New thermal cycler CFX 96 was implemented.
  - Phase 2: Study of inclusivity and of exclusivity, of practicability and of reagents quality.
- Interlaboratory study realized in 2007 was not made again
- New modification from initial validation was:
  - iQ-Check™ *Legionella* spp. kit: New origin of Taq polymerase and chemical evolution of IPC probe (TEXAS RED fluorophore was replaced by HEX fluorophore)
  - Aquadien Kit: two modalities of utilization according to sample filterability (protocol W2 for clogging samples added to classical protocol) and horizontal double tangential microfiltration for DNA purification step. Membranes and materials composition do not change.
  - New thermal cycler can be used: CFX96 with CFX Manager Software Industrial Diagnostic Edition version V1.1.

#### **2012:**

- Validation extension was pronounced in 2012 after evolution of characteristics of thermal cycler CFX96 which becomes CFX96 Deepwell Touch. Modifications concern reactional volume of heating block, user interface (keyboard and screen), and software CFX Manager which pass in version V1.2.
- AFNOR Certification Technical Group qualified these evolutions as minor and without impact on kit performance. No new assays were performed.

### **2013:**

- **Late May 2013:** Validation of iQ-Check™ *Legionella* spp. method was extended to norm ISO/TS 12869. No study complement was necessary: Assays performed according to norm NF T90-471 answers to requirements of ISO/TS 12869 and follow migration to revision 2 of validation protocol.
- **November 2013:** Evolution of software CFX manager IDE v2.1. No study complement was necessary.

### **2015:**

- **March 2015:** Evolution of software CFX manager IDE v2.2. No study complement was necessary.
- **October 2015:** Renewal of iQ-Check™ *Legionella* spp. method with extension on detection (qualitative research) of *Legionella* spp. without supplementary test. AFNOR Certification Technical Group qualified this evolution without impact on kit performance. No new assays were performed.

### **2018:**

- **June 2018:** Evolution of the CFX manager IDE v3.0 software version. No further validation studies were required.

### **2019:**

- **December 2019:** Renewal of iQ-Check™ *Legionella* spp. method. No new assays were performed.

### **2020:**

- **December 2020:** Extension of iQ-Check™ *Legionella* spp. methods. Modifications of the protocols of DNA extraction with Aquadien™ kit. The extension of the iQ-Check™ *Legionella* only concerned the study of the yield and robustness.

### **2023:**

- **June 2023:** Extension of iQ-Check™ *Legionella* spp. methods. Extension of the use of this method on their new CFX Opus real-time PCR systems. The extension of the iQ-Check™ *Legionella* only concerned a verification of the performances of the calibration function of the new thermal cycler in comparison with the previously validated thermal cycler and evolution of the "CFX Manager Industrial Diagnostic Edition" software from version V3.0 to version V3.1. All the thermal cyclers validated can be used with this version of the software. Demonstration of the ability to save the calibration curve generated by a batch for reuse it until the expiration date of the batch.

### **2025:**

- **June 2025:** Extension of iQ-Check™ *Legionella* spp. and *Legionella pneumophila* methods. Extension of the use of this method on their new CFX Duet Real-Time PCR System. An internal study was carried out by the supplier Bio-Rad.
- **September 2025:** Extension of iQ-Check™ *Legionella* spp. and *Legionella pneumophila* methods. Extension for the use of a new version of the CFX Maestro IDE software, 4.0. An internal study was carried out by the supplier Bio-Rad.

The validation history is summarized in the following table:

Method	Date of approval	Type of validation	Comments	Expert laboratory	Protocol of validation
<b>iQ-Check™ Legionella spp</b>	18/12/2007	Validation		IPL SED Nord	Rev. 0 (2006)
	10/06/2011	Renewal 1	Evolution of mix PCR 2 extraction modalities (protocol W2) Update according to the version 1 protocol	IPL SED Nord	Rev. 1 (2011)
	04/04/2012	Extension 1	New thermal cycler (Deep Well touch)	Eurofins IPL Nord	Rev. 1 (2011)
	27/05/2013	Extension 2	Protocol of validation V.2	NA	Rev. 2 (2013)
	05/11/2013	Modification	Software V2.1	NA	Rev. 2 (2013)
	09/03/2015	Modification	Software V2.2	NA	Rev. 2 (2013)
	18/12/2015	Renewal 2	The modifications between the version 2.0 and 3.0 of the AFNOR validation protocol relates to the positivity threshold (quantitative detection). There was no additional study.	AdGène (with extension on qualitative test)	Rev. 3 (2015)
	June 2018		Software V3.0		
	Dec. 2019	Renewal 3	There was no additional study.	AdGène	Rev. 3 (2015)
	2020	Extension 3	Evolution of DNA extraction kit Aquadien™ protocols: short protocols & Free DNA Removal Solution protocol (FDRS protocol)	AdGène	Rev. 3 (2015)
	2023	Renewal 4 and Extension 4	New thermal cyclers (CFX Opus 96 and CFX Opus Deepwell) Software V3.1 – Saving of the calibration curve	Upscience	Rev. 3 (2015)

	2025	Extension 5	New thermal cycler CFX Duet Real-Time PCR System (internal study carried out by the supplier Bio-Rad)	NA	Rev. 3 (2015)
	2025	Extension 6	New version of CFX Maestro IDE software 4.0 (internal study carried out by the supplier Bio-Rad)	NA	Rev. 3 (2015)

## 2.2 Review of changes in the alternative method

The validation protocol is identical to that of the last renewal.

Extension study performed in June 2025 is due to the release of the new CFX Duet Real-Time PCR System thermal cycler. The thermal cycler uses the same Peltier heating block, software for interpreting results, and the same thermal profile as Bio-Rad's OPUS line.

Extension study performed in October 2025 is due to the release of the new version of CFX Maestro IDE software 4.0. For these extensions, supplier Bio-Rad presented internal data\* and demonstrated that this modification had no impact on the performance or results of the certified alternative methods concerned. Indeed, all results obtained met the defined acceptability criteria.

\*These data are available from the manufacturer Bio-Rad.

## 2.3 Review of user complaints about method

No user customer claims have been registered by AFNOR Certification.

# 3 Methods Protocols

## 3.1 Principle of alternative method

iQ-Check™ *Legionella* spp. kit is intended to detect or to quantify bacteria genus *Legionella* in water sample, due to Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR). PCR allows amplification and detection of specific sequences with specific primers and fluorescent probe.

Principle is based on three steps:

- Sample filtration
- DNA extraction with Aquadien™ kit (and W2 protocol for clogging samples and Free DNA Removal Solution protocol (FDRS)).
- *Legionella* spp. target sequences amplification.

DNA extraction with Aquadien kit is based on alkaline lysis with thermal shock. It is followed by an ultrafiltration purification step. A DNA fraction is amplified by real-time PCR (Amplification of a virulence gene (*mip*) for *L. pneumophila* and a structural gene (rRNA5S) for *L. spp.*).

Primers hybridize to target sequence during PCR reaction. Taq polymerase uses primers and

nucleosides triphosphate (dNTPs) to stretch DNA and to create copies of *Legionella* spp. target DNA. Specific probe hybridizes to amplicons during PCR. This probe is labelled with a fluorophore which emit fluorescence only after hybridization. Fluorescence intensity increases proportionally with increasing of PCR products.

Fluorescence is directly measured by optical machinery of the thermal cycler during hybridization step. Thermal cycler software manages in real-time the measured fluorescence function of number of amplification cycles. Software determines a Ct (cycle from which fluorescence is higher than background signal). Reading Ct permits to detect presence of *Legionella* spp. target sequences. Detection of target sequences indicates presence of the bacteria in analyzed water sample.

Quantification is possible by using calibrated DNA solutions iQ-Check™ *Legionella* Quantification Standards. These standards are connected to the primary standard of Centre National de Référence des Légionelles.

PCR inhibition phenomenon is detected by utilization of a synthetic DNA (internal control – IPC) included in amplification solution with each sample. IPC is amplified during same time than target sequences, with same primers but with a different probe and a different fluorophore.

iQ-Check™ *Legionella* spp. kits are validated with the following materials:

<b>Software</b>	Opticon Monitor 3.4	CFX manager Software Industrial Diagnostic Edition V2.2	CFX manager Software Industrial Diagnostic Edition V3.0	CFX manager Software Industrial Diagnostic Edition V3.1	CFX Maestro IDE Software 4.0
<b>Thermal cyclers</b>	Chromo4	CFX96	CFX96 CFX96 Deepwell	CFX96 CFX96 Deepwell CFX Opus 96 CFX Opus Deepwell CFX Duet	CFX96 CFX96 Deepwell CFX Opus 96 CFX Opus Deepwell CFX Duet

### 3.2 Reference of protocol

Aquadien™ (Réf. 3578121): 12/2015 – Code: 881116.

iQ-Check™ *L. spp.* (Ref. 3578103): 12/2015 – Code: 881117.

### 3.3 Restrictions

The kit certification is for use with Bio-Rad Chromo™4; CFX96; CFX96 Deepwell; CFX Opus 96, CFX Opus Deepwell and CFX Duet thermal cyclers.



### 3.4 Reference standards

- **NF T90-471 (June 2015):** Water quality - Detection and quantification of *Legionella* and/or *Legionella pneumophila* by concentration and genic amplification by real time polymerase chain reaction (qPCR).
- **ISO/TS 12869 (April 2019):** Water quality - Detection and quantification of *Legionella* spp. and/or *Legionella pneumophila* by concentration and genic amplification by quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR).
- Validation protocol for commercial methods of detection and quantification of *Legionella* and *Legionella pneumophila* by concentration and gene amplification by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) V3.0.

## 4 Summary of results

The results presented below were obtained with the V1.0, V2.0 and the V3.0 revisions of the validation protocol for commercial methods of detection and quantification of *Legionella* and *Legionella pneumophila* by concentration and gene amplification by polymerase chain reaction (PCR).

### 4.1 Comparative study

#### 4.1.1 Fitting the calibration and the reference material to the primary standard

*These results have been obtained by the laboratory IPL SED Nord (2011).*

- **Methodology**

Linking of working calibration solution to primary standard is made to cover the quantification domain with 3 ranges of calibrated DNA iQ-Check™ *Legionella* spp. which contain 4 levels of concentrations of Genome Units of *Legionella pneumophila* serogroup (QS1, QS2, QS3, QS4) and 3 independent ranges of primary standard aiming at the 4 levels of concentrations of range of calibrated DNA iQ-Check™ *Legionella* Quantification Standards.

Linking of reference material to primary standard is evaluated analysing results of 2 deposits of reference material given with iQ-Check™ *Legionella* spp. kit.

- **Results**

Analysed parameters for evaluation of linking of calibration solution and of reference material to primary standard on thermal cycler CFX96 and Chromo 4 are submitted in next table.

	Regression curve	Correlation	Efficiency (%)
Reference range (CFX96)	$C(t) \text{ average} = -3,198 \cdot \log(x) + 39,076$	0,998	105,5
Reference range (Chromo 4)	$C(t) \text{ average} = -2,891 \cdot \log(x) + 38,674$	0,995	121,75

	Calibration error			
Calibration solution	QS1	QS2	QS3	QS4
Per level (CFX96)	0,07	0,20	0,14	0,07
Per level (Chromo 4)	0,03	0,30	0,23	0,16
Average (CFX96)	0,12			
Average (Chromo 4)	0,18*			
Slopes equivalence (CFX96)	0,00			
Slopes equivalence (Chromo 4)	0,13			

Reference material	Calibration error
CFX96	0,19
Chromo 4	0,19

\*Calibration error of calibration solution is 0.18log with thermal cycler Chromo4. However, equivalence of slopes from reference range and calibration solution range is verified.

Calibration error of calibration solution is lower than 0.15log. Slopes from reference range and calibration solution range are equivalent.

The raw data are presented in **Appendix A**.

- **Conclusion**

Calibration solution and reference material of iQ-Check™ *Legionella* spp. kit satisfy conditions of linking to primary standard with thermal cycler CFX96.

Calibration solution globally satisfies conditions of linking to primary standard with thermal cycler Chromo 4. Reference material of iQ-Check™ *Legionella* spp. kit satisfies conditions of linking to primary standard with thermal cycler Chromo 4.

#### 4.1.2 Study of the calibration function of the quantitative PCR step

*These results have been obtained by the laboratory IPL SED Nord (2011) and by the laboratory Upscience (2023).*

- **Methodology**

Study of calibration function is made deposit 5 different reference ranges of calibrated DNA solution iQ-Check™ *Legionella* Quantification Standards (comprising 4 levels of concentration of Genome Units of *Legionella pneumophila*), given with iQ-Check™ *Legionella* spp. kit.

5 measures are made with iQ-Check™ *Legionella* spp. kit for each level of concentration in reproducibility conditions.

- **Results obtained by the laboratory IPL SED Nord (2011)**

Equation of regression curve and efficiency of PCR reaction are defined in these conditions. Results are obtained on **CFX96**.

Regression curve	-3,197.log(x) + 41,347
Efficiency	105,5%
r <sup>2</sup>	0,998

	QS1	QS2	QS3	QS4
<b>Bias</b>	0,06	-0,10	0,00	0,04
<b>Standard deviation</b>	0,12	0,06	0,08	0,05
<b>Exactitude of linearity</b>	0,13	0,12	0,08	0,07
<b>Uncertainty of linearity</b>	0,42	0,37	0,27	0,22

- **Results obtained by the laboratory Upscience (2023)**

Results of the comparison obtained on **CFX96** and **CFX Opus 96**:

	CFX Opus 96			
	QS1	QS2	QS3	QS4
<b>Bias</b>	0,09	0,10	0,10	0,11
<b>Standard deviation</b>	0,06	0,02	0,02	0,03
<b>Exactitude of linearity</b>	0,11	0,10	0,10	0,11
<b>Uncertainty of linearity</b>	0,31	0,28	0,29	0,32

<b>Regression curve</b>	-3,098.log(x) + 38,859
<b>Efficiency</b>	110,3%
<b>r<sup>2</sup></b>	0,993

	CFX Opus 96			
	QS1	QS2	QS3	QS4
<b>Bias</b>	0,00	0,03	0,05	0,02
<b>Standard deviation</b>	0,03	0,01	0,01	0,08
<b>Exactitude of linearity</b>	0,03	0,03	0,05	0,08
<b>Uncertainty of linearity</b>	0,09	0,09	0,13	0,23

<b>Regression curve</b>	-3,048.log(x) + 38,67
<b>Efficiency</b>	112,9%
<b>r<sup>2</sup></b>	0,999

Results of the comparison obtained on **CFX96 Deepwell** and **CFX Opus Deepwell**:

	CFX96 Deepwell			
	QS1	QS2	QS3	QS4
<b>Bias</b>	0,07	0,01	0,06	0,03
<b>Standard deviation</b>	0,07	0,11	0,05	0,05
<b>Exactitude of linearity</b>	0,10	0,11	0,08	0,06
<b>Uncertainty of linearity</b>	0,28	0,32	0,21	0,17

<b>Regression curve</b>	-3,052.log(x) + 39,237
<b>Efficiency</b>	112,6%
<b>r<sup>2</sup></b>	0,999

	CFX Opus Deepwell			
	QS1	QS2	QS3	QS4
<b>Bias</b>	0,09	0,07	0,05	0,06
<b>Standard deviation</b>	0,04	0,12	0,02	0,04
<b>Exactitude of linearity</b>	0,09	0,14	0,06	0,07
<b>Uncertainty of linearity</b>	0,26	0,38	0,16	0,20

<b>Regression curve</b>	-2,968.log(x) + 39,62
<b>Efficiency</b>	117,2%
<b>r<sup>2</sup></b>	0,998

The raw data are presented in **Appendix B**.

- **Conclusion**

Linear regression satisfies exigence of exactitude lower than 0.15log for each level of reference range for the CFX 96; CFX 96 Opus; CFX96 Deepwell and CFX Opus Deepwell thermal cyclers. Linearity is verified on the whole domain cover by the range of calibrated DNA solution iQ-Check™ *Legionella* Quantification Standards given with iQ-Check™ *Legionella* spp. kit.

- **Complementary study – Save of the calibration curve**

In 2023, Bio-Rad wishes to demonstrate the ability to save the calibration curve generated by a batch for reuse it until the expiration date of the batch. For that, calibration curve is analysed with the 4 levels of concentration (QS1; QS2; QS3; QS4) before to save this generated curve. Then, the QS2 point was analysed over several weeks to verify conformity.

Name	Calibration curve			
	QS1	QS2	QS3	QS4
<b>Copy number (log)</b>	1,28	2,59	3,59	4,59
<b>CFX 96</b>	34,90	31,08	28,00	24,15
	34,59	31,03	27,93	24,19
<b>CFX Opus 96</b>	34,94	30,75	27,60	24,26
	34,33	30,92	27,61	24,34

		CFX96			
QS2 =		390 copies (Log : 2,59)			
Date	Point	CT	Copy number	Log copy number	Deviation theoretical value (Log)
15/05/2023	QS2	30,47	510	2,71	0.12
		30,62	457	2,66	0.07
23/05/2023	QS2	30,48	507	2,70	0.11
		30,48	507	2,70	0.11
26/05/2023	QS2	31,06	329	2,52	-0.07
		31,02	339	2,53	-0.06
30/05/2023	QS2	31,02	339	2,53	-0.06
		31,07	327	2,51	-0.08

		CFX Opus 96			
QS2 =		390 copies (Log : 2,59)			
Date	Point	CT	Copy number	Log copy number	Deviation theoretical value (Log)
15/05/2023	QS2	30,83	373	2,57	-0.02
		30,85	368	2,57	-0.02
23/05/2023	QS2	30,73	403	2,60	0.01
		30,73	403	2,60	0.01
26/05/2023	QS2	31,23	276	2,44	-0.15
		31,25	272	2,43	-0.16
30/05/2023	QS2	31,17	289	2,46	-0.13
		31,19	284	2,45	-0.14

The calculated quantity of the QS is within  $\pm 0.3$  log of the theoretical value. The results of the save of the calibration curve are satisfactory.

As the curve recall has already been validated on the CFX96 and CFX Opus 96. The CFX96 Deepwell and CFX Opus Deepwell instruments having the same characteristics, *de facto*, this curve recall is also validated for the last two instruments.

#### 4.1.3 Limit of detection

*These results have been obtained by the laboratory IPL SED Nord (2011).*

- **Methodology**

Evaluation of limit of detection is made from 30 independent dilutions of *Legionella pneumophila* DNA in concentration of 5GU per PCR reaction. Duplicate amplifications are made in repeatability conditions. Results are obtained on **CFX96**.

- **Results**

Echantillons à la concentration 5UG

Sample	C(t)	I.C. C(t)	SQ				
e1	37,88	33,12	6,445	e18	40,81	37,79	0,645
e1	37,73	33,12	7,232	e18	38,08	34,36	5,515
e2	38,38	36,36	1,982	e19	37,78	34,27	6,964
e2	38,41	34,8	4,257	e19	37,9	34,15	6,307
e3	37,65	34,56	7,690	e20	38,16	34,63	5,147
e3	38,19	34,14	5,046	e20	39,2	34,48	2,280
e4	37,92	34,09	6,237	e21	37,28	33,31	10,260
e4	38,12	34,01	5,328	e21	41,82	35,38	0,293
e5	38,25	32,97	4,826	e22	39,31	35,69	2,096
e5	37,77	33,71	7,028	e22	37,61	33,71	7,956
e6	38,99	35,71	2,685	e23	37,95	34,28	6,091
e6	38,34	34,12	4,496	e23	38,17	34,39	5,128
e7	38,1	34,39	5,432	e24	38,14	34,38	5,261
e7	38,02	34,24	5,775	e24	37,92	34,65	6,214
e8	39,21	34,53	2,268	e25	37,33	33,41	9,875
e8	37,86	34,21	6,526	e25	37,47	33,94	8,870
e9	37,39	32,78	9,424	e26	37,84	34,55	6,629
e9	37,82	33,79	6,734	e26	37,97	34,48	5,997
e10	41,57	39,12	0,356	e27	38,04	34,37	5,654
e10	37,97	34,42	5,989	e27	37,53	34,6	8,487
e11	38,9	34,49	2,887	e28	38,98	34,64	2,712
e11	38,13	34,04	5,271	e28	38,15	34,36	5,218
e12	38,93	34,35	2,816	e29	37,74	33,16	7,195
e12	37,85	34,08	6,557	e29	41,52	39	0,372
e13	37,15	32,87	11,400	e30	38,16	34,32	5,146
e13	38,08	34,53	5,489	e30	38,22	34,72	4,908
e14	38,19	34,68	5,041				
e14	38,56	34,31	3,786				
e15	37,91	34,44	6,301				
e15	38,43	34,93	4,193				
e16	38,04	34,35	5,667				
e16	37,42	34,07	9,195				
e17	37,32	32,73	9,950				
e17	38,47	35,11	4,058				

All results are presented in **Appendix C**.

- **Conclusion**

The 30 duplicates are positives. Limit of detection is validated for 5 GU per PCR reaction.

The majority of Ct in previous table are lower than intercept and the rare values above do not impact the compliance of the detection limit at 5 GU per PCR. Qualitative detection is conforming.

#### 4.1.4 Limit of quantification

*These results have been obtained by the laboratory IPL SED Nord (2011).*

- **Methodology**

Evaluation of limit of quantification is made from 30 independent dilutions of *Legionella pneumophila* DNA in concentration of 15GU per PCR reaction. Duplicate amplifications are made in repeatability conditions. Results are obtained on **CFX96**.

- **Results**

	Results	Theoretical values or validation criteria
Average x' (Log GU/well)	1,309	1,279
Standard deviation (Log GU/well)	0,097	
Bias	0,030	
LQ Exactitude	0,101	0,15
LQ Uncertainty	0,207	

All results are presented in **Appendix D**.

- **Conclusion**

Value of exactitude of limit of quantification is estimated at 0.101 log. This value is lower than 0.15 log. Limit of quantification is validated for 15 GU per PCR reaction for iQ-Check™ *Legionella* spp. kit.

#### 4.1.5 Positivity threshold

*These results have been obtained by the laboratory IPL SED Nord (2011).*

User manual foresees a Cq of 43 hereafter whose samples are considered as lower than the limit of detection.

All values for characterization of limit of detection have Cq lower than 43. This value corresponds to the positivity threshold lower than limit of detection.

#### 4.1.6 Study of the yield and robustness

*Results for Aquadien™ and Aquadien W2 (for clogging waters) protocols have been obtained by the laboratory IPL SED Nord in 2011. Results for Aquadien™; Aquadien W2; and FDRS short protocols have been obtained in 2020 by the laboratory AdGène.*

- **Methodology**

Studies of extraction efficiency were realized with extraction kit Aquadien™ in classical and short protocols. Efficiency was evaluated on 10 independent samples, which were artificially contaminated with two levels of concentrations of *Legionella pneumophila* ATCC 33152 (1000 and 100 000 GU / PCR reaction). Samples were 3 different matrices: sterile water, domestic hot water and water from air cooling-tower.

Samples were artificially contaminated by primary bacterial suspension. The concentration was determined by 3 quantifications after an extraction step of DNA by direct lysis on 3 aliquots. Results are obtained on **CFX96**.

- **Results**

		YIELD			
		Aquadien Protocol		Aquadien Protocol W2	
		Log	Average	Log	Average
Domestic hot water	1 000 GU/L 100 000 GU/L	-0,29	-0,41	-0,47 -0,56	-0,51
Water from cooling-tower	1 000 GU/L 100 000 GU/L	-0,39	-0,34	-0,47 -0,51	-0,49
Mineral water	1 000 GU/L 100 000 GU/L	-0,45	-0,28	-0,47 -0,44	-0,45
Average yield (log)		-0,34		-0,09	
Variance (log)		0,03		-0,31	
Global extended uncertainty (log)		0,77		-0,47	

		YIELD					
		Aquadien Short Protocol		Aquadien W2 Short Protocol		Aquadien FDRS Short Protocol	
		Log	Average	Log	Average	Log	Average
Domestic hot water	1 000 GU/L 100 000 GU/L	-0.37		-0.23 -0.27	-0.25	-0.23 -0.27	-0.25
Water from cooling-tower	1 000 GU/L 100 000 GU/L	-0.30	-0.34	-0.25 -0.31	-0.28	-0.31 -0.34	-0.32
Mineral water	1 000 GU/L 100 000 GU/L	-0.23	-0.24	-0.30 -0.27	-0.29	-0.30 -0.36	-0.33
Average yield (log)		-0.33		-0.22		-0.26	
Variance (log)		0.01		-0.37			
Global extended uncertainty (log)		0.68		-0.28		-0.32	

Raw data are presented in **Appendix E**.

- **Conclusion**

Study of efficiency and robustness of extraction method allows evaluating average efficiency of:

- Aquadien method: -0,34 log
- Aquadien W2 method: -0,49 log
- Aquadien short method: -0,33 log
- Aquadien W2 short method: -0,32 log
- Aquadien FDRS short method: -0,31 log

Efficiencies with five extraction methods are conforming to criteria -0,6 log / +0,3 log (equivalent to efficiency comprise between 25% and 199%).

#### 4.1.7 Selectivity: inclusivity and exclusivity

*Results have been obtained by the laboratory IPL SED Nord (2011).*

DNA was extracted from pure bacterial suspension for each strain.

- **Inclusivity**

Inclusivity assays were realized on DNA extracts with concentration about 100 GU per PCR reaction. Concentrations were estimated by O.D.600nm of bacterial suspension.

DNA of 35 strains of tested *Legionella* (15 *Legionella pneumophila* and 20 *Legionella* spp.) were amplified.

All results are presented in **Appendix F**.

- **Exclusivity**

Exclusivity assays were realized on DNA extracts with concentration about 10 000 GU per PCR reaction. Concentrations were estimated by O.D.600nm of bacterial suspension.

DNA of 16 strains of tested were not amplified, except 5 of them which show weak amplification.

All results are presented in **Appendix F**.



- **Conclusion**

The selectivity of the iQ-Check™ *Legionella* spp. kit is satisfactory.

#### 4.1.8 Practicability

Protocol	R1 solution	W2 solution	FDRS solution	R2 solution	Time
<b>Aquadien</b>	2 mL	-	-	100 µL	1h10
<b>Aquadien short</b>	1 mL	-	-	100 µL	40 min
<b>Aquadien W2</b>	2 mL	200 µL	-	350 µL	1h10
<b>Aquadien W2 short</b>	1 mL	100 µL	-	225µL	1h10
<b>Aquadien FDRS short</b>	500 µL	-	40µL	100 µL	1h10

- **Ease of use:** reagents are all supplied with kits and are ready-to-use. Serial analyses from 1 to 30 samples, for quantification, are easy to make. A technician, who knows microbiology and molecular biology techniques and the specific thermal cycler and its software, can be trained in 1 day.
- **Fast results report:** duration of different phases is compatible with a short results report (4 hours).
- **Results security:** It guarantees by utilization of inhibition internal control (in same reaction well than sample) and by a software of results analysis. Use of software ensures traceability of complete information.

## 4.2 Inter-laboratory study

### 4.2.1 Methodology

Inter-laboratories study was realized in 2007 with 14 collaborating laboratories. Results of one laboratory were not taken into account because of technical problem which invalidated standardization. 13 laboratories were retained for statistical exploitation.

Goal of this study is to evaluate fidelity (repeatability and reproducibility) of iQ Check™ *Legionella* spp. a method:

- For only amplification step (2 DNA solutions of *L. anisa* et *L. pneumophila* sg1 at 2 different levels of concentration).
- For complete analysis (concentration, lysis, extraction, purification and gene amplification) on characterized bacterial suspensions of *L. pneumophila* and *Escherichia coli* (CIP 54.8) at 2 different levels of concentration).
- For whole analysis in real situation (hot domestic water naturally contaminated by *L. pneumophila* and *Legionella* spp.).
- For a water guarantees without any DNA of *Legionella*.

#### 4.2.2 Results

	Type of samples	Calibrated DNA solution		Spiked Tap water		Water Natural sample
Spiking levels (GU/L)	<i>L. pneumophila</i> ATCC 33152	2000 GU/μl	20000 GU/μl	4000 GU/200 ml	40000 GU/200 ml	hot water naturally contaminated
	<i>L. anisa</i>	500 GU/μl	5000 GU/μl	1000 GU/200 ml	10000 GU/200 ml	
	<i>E. coli</i>			5000 GU/200 ml	50000 GU/200 ml	
Number of laboratories	Participant	14	14	14	14	14
	Retained	13	13	13	13	13
Homogeneity Test	Number of analyses	20	20	9	9	9
	Average (Log)	2.91	3.97	3.42	4.41	3.76
Results	Average (Log)	3.02	4.11	3.52	4.47	3.69
	r (Log)	0.18	0.15	0.28	0.34	0.46
	R (Log)	0.43	0.32	0.72	0.66	0.8
	Sr (Log)	0.06	0.06	0.10	0.12	0.16
	SR (Log)	0.14	0.10	0.24	0.20	0.23

#### 4.2.3 Conclusion

Repeatability values in r (log) are about 0.15 for DNA solutions (only PCR step) and about 0.7 for bacterial suspensions (global method). This is acceptable. Signification of these results is that we can wait for factor 2 measurement of deviation in the same laboratory. Repeatability is not a major source of error.

Reproducibility values in R (log) are about 0.2 for DNA solutions (only PCR step) and about 0.9 for bacterial suspensions (global method). Compared to repeatability, this order of magnitude is equivalent to values that we can obtain for environmental microbiology analyses. Signification of these results is that we can wait for factor 8 of measurement deviation between 2 different laboratories. Reproducibility does not participate in an unreasonable way to result dispersion.

## 5 General conclusion

Performances of iQ-Check™ *Legionella* spp. method are conforming to requirement of norms NF T90-471 and ISO/TS 12869, and of AFNOR validation protocol: "Validation protocol for commercial methods of detection and quantification of *Legionella* and *Legionella pneumophila* by concentration and gene amplification by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) V3.0".

The evolution of the "CFX Industrial Diagnostic Edition" software from version V3.0 to version V3.1 required for piloting current and the new thermal cyclers, does not affect the results given that the calculation algorithm as well as the criteria for interpreting the results remain unchanged.

The evolution of the "CFX Maestro Manager Industrial Diagnostic Edition" software version V4.0 required for piloting current and the new thermal cyclers, does not affect the results given that the calculation algorithm as well as the criteria for interpreting the results remain unchanged.

iQ-Check™® *Legionella* spp. kit is a kit validated for detection and quantification of *Legionella* and/or *Legionella pneumophila* by concentration and gene amplification by real-time Polymerase Chain Reaction (qPCR).

Le Lion d'Angers, October 08, 2025

Guillaume MESNARD

Method Validation Supervisor



## **APPENDICES**

## Appendix A

### Fitting to the primary standard

## Results from iQ-Check™ Quanti L. spp – Extension 2011 - v01 achieved by IPL santé, environnement durables Nord:

### Raccordement sur CFX

#### Gamme de référence

Niveaux testés (UG/puits)		15	420	4200	42000
log (UG/Puits)		1,17609	2,62325	3,62325	4,62325
C(t) obtenus	Gamme étalon 1	35,42	31,17	27,62	24,14
		35,34	30,90	27,55	24,31
	Gamme étalon 2	35,33	30,83	27,54	24,47
		35,28	30,79	27,52	24,13
	Gamme étalon 3	34,85	30,80	27,37	24,02
		35,02	30,64	27,57	24,10
	Pente	-3,198			
	Ordonnée à l'origine	39,076			
	Corrélation ( $r^2$ )	0,998			
	Efficacité (%)	105,466			

### Raccordement de la solution calibrante

#### Solution calibrante

Niveaux estimé (UG/puits)		19	390	3900	39000
log (UG/Puits)		1,27875	2,59106	3,59106	4,59106
C(t) obtenus	Gamme calib 1	35,52	31,88	28,10	24,72
		35,29	31,38	27,96	24,70
	Gamme calib 2	34,99	31,34	28,03	24,52
		35,69	31,49	28,09	24,63
	Gamme calib 3	35,00	31,32	27,96	24,67
		34,86	31,25	28,17	24,54
	C(t) moyen par niveau	35,23	31,44	28,05	24,63
	Quantité retrouvée par niveau (Log)	1,20	2,39	3,45	4,52
	Erreur de calibr par niveau moyenne	0,07	0,20	0,14	0,07
	Vérification de l'équivalence des t	0,12			
		0,00			

### Raccordement du matériau de référence

#### Matériau de référence

Valeur de référé (UG/puits)		540
log (UG/Puits)		2,73239
C(t) obtenus	MR1	30,93
	MR2	30,99
C(t) moyen	30,96	
Quantité retrouvée par niveau (Log)	2,54	
Erreur de calibrage	0,19	

### Raccordement sur Chromo 4

#### Gamme de référence

Niveaux testés (UG/puits)		15	420	4200	42000
log (UG/Puits)		1,17609	2,62325	3,62325	4,62325
C(t) obtenus	Gamme étalon 1	35,27	31,28	28,26	24,99
		34,85	31,37	28,18	25,00
	Gamme étalon 2	35,09	31,26	28,43	25,02
		35,01	31,31	28,29	24,97
	Gamme étalon 3	35,03	31,55	28,63	25,31
		35,07	31,57	28,35	25,12
	Pente	-2,891			
	Ordonnée à l'origine	38,674			
	Corrélation ( $r^2$ )	0,995			
	Efficacité (%)	121,752			

### Raccordement de la solution calibrante

#### Solution calibrante

Niveaux estimé (UG/puits)		19	390	3900	39000
log (UG/Puits)		1,27875	2,59106	3,59106	4,59106
C(t) obtenus	Gamme calib 1	35,12	32,12	29,06	25,95
		35,06	32,09	29,09	26,12
	Gamme calib 2	34,98	32,02	28,87	25,86
		35,05	32,12	29,04	25,66
	Gamme calib 3	35,18	32,02	28,95	25,84
		35,01	31,92	28,8	25,76
	C(t) moyen par niveau	35,07	32,05	28,97	25,87
	Quantité retrouvée par niveau (Log)	1,25	2,29	3,36	4,43
	Erreur de calibr par niveau moyenne	0,03	0,30	0,23	0,16
	Vérification de l'équivalence des t	0,18			
		0,13			

### Raccordement du matériau de référence

#### Matériau de référence

Valeur de référé (UG/puits)		540
log (UG/Puits)		2,73239
C(t) obtenus	MR1	31,34
	MR2	31,31
C(t) moyen	31,33	
Quantité retrouvée par niveau (Log)	2,54	
Erreur de calibrage	0,19	

## Appendix B

### Calibration function

Results from iQ-Check™ Quanti L. spp – Extension 2011 - v01 achieved by IPL santé, environnement durables Nord:


Niveau (UG/puits)	$x_i$	19	390	3900	39000	19	390	3900	39000
	$x'_i = \text{Log}(x_i)$	1,28	2,59	3,59	4,59	1,28	2,59	3,59	4,59
gamme	gamme 1	37,08	33,33	29,60	26,49	36,79	33,30	29,72	26,61
$y_{ij}$		36,49	33,27	29,84	26,72				
k=5 répétitions	gamme 2	37,02	33,18	29,44	26,36	36,90	33,26	29,58	26,30
		36,77	33,33	29,71	26,24				
	gamme 3	37,78	33,30	29,69	26,54	37,24	33,31	29,84	26,50
		36,69	33,31	29,99	26,46				
	gamme 4	37,32	33,81	30,14	26,65	37,24	33,57	30,23	26,75
		37,16	33,32	30,32	26,84				
	gamme 5	37,40	33,57	30,01	26,52	37,15	33,49	30,03	26,51
		36,90	33,41	30,05	26,50				
Moyenne	$m_i$	37,06	33,38	29,88	26,53	37,06	33,38	29,88	26,53

Estimation de la droite de régression

Pente	a =	-3,197
Ordonnée à l'origine	b =	41,347

Estimation de l'efficacité

Efficacité	e =	105,5%
------------	-----	--------



Vérification des performances de la régression linéaire

Niveau	$x_i$	19	390	3900	39000	19	390	3900	39000
	$x'_i = \text{Log}(x_i)$	1,28	2,59	3,59	4,59	1,28	2,59	3,59	4,59
gamme	gamme 1	1,33	2,51	3,67	4,65	1,43	2,52	3,64	4,61
$y_{ij}$		1,52	2,53	3,60	4,57				
k=5 répétitions	gamme 2	1,35	2,55	3,72	4,69	1,39	2,53	3,68	4,71
		1,43	2,51	3,64	4,73				
	gamme 3	1,12	2,52	3,65	4,63	1,29	2,52	3,60	4,64
		1,46	2,51	3,55	4,66				
	gamme 4	1,26	2,36	3,51	4,60	1,28	2,43	3,48	4,57
		1,31	2,51	3,45	4,54				
	gamme 5	1,23	2,43	3,55	4,64	1,31	2,46	3,54	4,64
		1,39	2,48	3,53	4,64				
Moyenne	$m_i$	1,34	2,49	3,59	4,63	1,34	2,49	3,59	4,63

Biais		0,06	-0,10	0,00	0,04
Ecart type	S =	0,12	0,06	0,08	0,05
Exactitude de linéarité	$E_{LIN}$ =	0,13	0,12	0,08	0,07
Incertitude de linéarité	$U_{LIN}$ =	0,42	0,37	0,27	0,22



Results from iQ-Check™ Quanti L. spp – Extension 2023 - achieved by Upscience (CFX96):

Niveau (UG/puits)	$x_i$	19	390	3900	39000
	$x'_i = \text{Log}(x_i)$	1,28	2,59	3,59	4,59

gamme $y_{ij}$ $k = 5$ répétitions	Gamme 1	34,90	31,08	28,00	24,15
		34,69	31,03	27,93	24,19
	Gamme 2	34,62	31,15	28,10	24,34
		34,48	31,20	28,04	24,40
	Gamme 3	34,39	31,16	28,08	24,34
		34,32	31,16	28,06	24,39
	Gamme 4	34,70	31,16	28,10	24,32
		34,45	31,15	28,03	24,25
	Gamme 5	34,51	31,15	28,07	24,26
		35,20	31,17	28,09	24,28


Moyenne	$m_i$	34,61	31,14	28,05	24,29
---------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------

Estimation de la droite de régression

Pente	a =	-3,098
Ordonnée à l'origine	b =	38,859

Estimation de l'efficacité

Efficacité	e =	110,3
------------	-----	-------



Vérification des performances de la régression linéaire

Niveau (UG/puits)	$x_i$	19	390	3900	39000
	$x'_i = \text{Log}(x_i)$	1,28	2,59	3,59	4,59

gamme $y_{ij}$ $k = 5$ répétitions	Gamme 1	1,28	2,51	3,50	4,75
		1,38	2,53	3,53	4,74
	Gamme 2	1,37	2,49	3,47	4,69
		1,41	2,47	3,49	4,67
	Gamme 3	1,44	2,48	3,48	4,69
		1,47	2,48	3,49	4,67
	Gamme 4	1,34	2,49	3,47	4,69
		1,42	2,49	3,49	4,71
	Gamme 5	1,41	2,49	3,48	4,71
		1,18	2,48	3,48	4,71

Moyenne	$m_i$	1,37	2,49	3,49	4,70
---------	-------	------	------	------	------

Biais	0,09	0,10	0,10	0,11
Ecart type S =	0,06	0,02	0,02	0,03
Exactitude de linéarité $E_{LIN}$	0,11	0,10	0,10	0,11
Incertitude de linéarité $U_{LIN}$	0,31	0,28	0,29	0,32

Results from iQ-Check™ Quanti L. spp – Extension 2023 - achieved by Upscience (CFX Opus 96):

Niveau (UG/puits)	$x_i$	19	390	3900	39000
	$x'_i = \text{Log}(x_i)$	1,28	2,59	3,59	4,59

gamme $y_{ij}$ $k = 5$ répétitions	Gamme 1	34,94	30,75	27,60	24,26
		34,33	30,92	27,61	24,34
	Gamme 2	34,80	30,80	27,59	24,78
		34,84	30,97	27,57	25,03
	Gamme 3	34,48	30,88	27,56	24,80
		34,88	30,89	27,56	24,91
	Gamme 4	34,95	30,80	27,56	24,74
		34,76	30,85	27,60	24,84
	Gamme 5	34,60	30,93	27,62	24,82
		35,00	30,86	27,59	24,86

Moyenne	$m_i$	34,76	30,87	27,58	24,74
---------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------

19	390	3900	39000
1,28	2,59	3,59	4,59

34,64	30,83	27,61	24,30
34,82	30,88	27,58	24,91
34,68	30,89	27,56	24,86
34,86	30,83	27,58	24,79
34,80	30,90	27,60	24,84


34,76	30,87	27,58	24,74
-------	-------	-------	-------

Estimation de la droite de régression

Pente	a =	-3,048
Ordonnée à l'origine	b =	38,67

Estimation de l'efficacité

Efficacité	e =	112,9
------------	-----	-------



Vérification des performances de la régression linéaire

Niveau (UG/puits)	$x_i$	19	390	3900	39000
	$x'_i = \text{Log}(x_i)$	1,28	2,59	3,59	4,59

gamme $y_{ij}$ $k = 5$ répétitions	Gamme 1	1,22	2,60	3,63	4,73
		1,42	2,54	3,63	4,70
	Gamme 2	1,27	2,58	3,63	4,56
		1,26	2,53	3,64	4,47
	Gamme 3	1,37	2,56	3,65	4,55
		1,24	2,55	3,64	4,51
	Gamme 4	1,22	2,58	3,65	4,57
		1,28	2,56	3,63	4,54
	Gamme 5	1,34	2,54	3,63	4,54
		1,20	2,56	3,64	4,53

Moyenne	$m_i$	1,28	2,56	3,64	4,57
---------	-------	------	------	------	------

19	390	3900	39000
1,28	2,59	3,59	4,59

1,32	2,57	3,63	4,72
1,26	2,55	3,64	4,51
1,31	2,55	3,65	4,53
1,25	2,57	3,64	4,55
1,27	2,55	3,63	4,54

1,28	2,56	3,64	4,57
------	------	------	------

Biais	0,00	0,03	0,05	0,02
Ecart type S =	0,03	0,01	0,01	0,08
Exactitude de linéarité $E_{LN}$	0,03	0,03	0,05	0,08
Incertitude de linéarité $U_{LN}$	0,09	0,09	0,13	0,23

Results from iQ-Check™ Quanti L. spp – Extension 2023 - achieved by Upscience (CFX96 Deepwell):

Niveau (UG/puits)	$x_i$	15	290	2900	29000
	$x'_i = \text{Log}(x_i)$	1,18	2,46	3,46	4,46

15	290	2900	29000
1,18	2,46	3,46	4,46

gamme $y_{ij}$ k = 5 répétitions	Gamme 1	35,79	31,93	28,55	25,67
		35,66	32,00	28,62	25,78
	Gamme 2	35,38	31,74	28,38	25,44
		35,65	31,89	28,44	25,49
	Gamme 3	35,39	31,20	28,38	25,24
		35,27	31,35	28,31	25,36
	Gamme 4	35,35	32,07	28,74	25,53
		35,67	32,22	28,60	25,61
	Gamme 5	35,09	31,58	28,36	25,47
		35,15	31,47	28,41	25,55

35,73	31,97	28,59	25,73
35,52	31,82	28,41	25,47
35,33	31,28	28,35	25,30
35,51	32,15	28,67	25,57
35,12	31,53	28,39	25,51

Moyenne	$m_i$	35,44	31,75	28,48	25,51
---------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------


35,44	31,75	28,48	25,51
-------	-------	-------	-------

Estimation de la droite de régression

Pente	a =	-3,0524
Ordonnée à l'origine	b =	39,237

Estimation de l'efficacité

Efficacité	e =	112,6
------------	-----	-------



Vérification des performances de la régression linéaire

Niveau (UG/puits)	$x_i$	15	290	2900	29000
	$x'_i = \text{Log}(x_i)$	1,18	2,46	3,46	4,46

15	290	2900	29000
1,18	2,46	3,46	4,46

gamme $y_{ij}$ k = 5 répétitions	Gamme 1	1,13	2,39	3,50	4,44
		1,17	2,37	3,48	4,41
	Gamme 2	1,26	2,46	3,56	4,52
		1,18	2,41	3,54	4,50
	Gamme 3	1,26	2,63	3,56	4,59
		1,30	2,58	3,58	4,55
	Gamme 4	1,27	2,35	3,44	4,49
		1,17	2,30	3,48	4,46
	Gamme 5	1,36	2,51	3,56	4,51
		1,34	2,54	3,55	4,48

1,15	2,38	3,49	4,43
1,22	2,43	3,55	4,51
1,28	2,61	3,57	4,57
1,22	2,32	3,46	4,48
1,35	2,53	3,56	4,50

Moyenne	$m_i$	1,24	2,45	3,52	4,50
---------	-------	------	------	------	------

1,24	2,45	3,52	4,50
------	------	------	------

Biais	0,07	-0,01	0,06	0,03
Ecart type S =	0,07	0,11	0,05	0,05
Exactitude de linéarité $E_{LIN}$	0,10	0,11	0,08	0,06
Incertitude de linéarité $U_{LIN}$	0,28	0,32	0,21	0,17

Results from iQ-Check™ Quanti L. spp – Extension 2023 - achieved by Upscience (CFX Opus Deepwell):

Niveau (UG/puits)	$x_i$	15	290	2900	29000
	$x'_i = \text{Log}(x_i)$	1,18	2,46	3,46	4,46

15	290	2900	29000
1,18	2,46	3,46	4,46

gamme $y_{ij}$ k = 5 répétitions	Gamme 1	35,95	32,69	29,23	26,08
		36,07	32,74	29,25	26,27
	Gamme 2	35,82	32,62	29,25	26,21
		36,17	32,69	29,23	26,25
	Gamme 3	35,99	32,11	29,18	26,01
		35,56	32,11	29,02	26,19
	Gamme 4	36,13	32,84	29,22	26,39
		35,56	32,94	29,24	26,45
	Gamme 5	35,65	32,02	29,12	26,09
		35,86	32,27	29,09	26,13

36,01	32,72	29,24	26,18
36,00	32,66	29,24	26,23
35,78	32,11	29,10	26,10
35,85	32,89	29,23	26,42
35,76	32,15	29,11	26,11

Moyenne	$m_i$	35,88	32,50	29,18	26,21
---------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------


35,88	32,50	29,18	26,21
-------	-------	-------	-------

Estimation de la droite de régression

Pente	a =	-2,9688
Ordonnée à l'origine	b =	39,62

Estimation de l'efficacité

Efficacité	e =	117,2
------------	-----	-------



Vérification des performances de la régression linéaire

Niveau (UG/puits)	$x_i$	15	290	2900	29000
	$x'_i = \text{Log}(x_i)$	1,18	2,46	3,46	4,46

15	290	2900	29000
1,18	2,46	3,46	4,46

gamme $y_{ij}$ k = 5 répétitions	Gamme 1	1,24	2,33	3,50	4,56
		1,20	2,32	3,49	4,50
	Gamme 2	1,28	2,36	3,49	4,52
		1,16	2,33	3,50	4,50
	Gamme 3	1,22	2,53	3,52	4,58
		1,37	2,53	3,57	4,52
	Gamme 4	1,18	2,28	3,50	4,46
		1,37	2,25	3,50	4,44
	Gamme 5	1,34	2,56	3,54	4,56
		1,27	2,48	3,55	4,54

1,22	2,33	3,50	4,53
1,22	2,35	3,50	4,51
1,30	2,53	3,54	4,55
1,27	2,27	3,50	4,45
1,30	2,52	3,54	4,55

Moyenne	$m_i$	1,26	2,40	3,52	4,52
---------	-------	------	------	------	------

1,26	2,40	3,52	4,52
------	------	------	------

Biais	0,09	-0,07	0,05	0,06
Ecart type S =	0,04	0,12	0,02	0,04
Exactitude de linéarité $E_{LIN}$	0,09	0,14	0,06	0,07
Incertitude de linéarité $U_{LIN}$	0,26	0,38	0,16	0,20

## Appendix C

### Limit of detection

## Limite de détection à 5UG

### Echantillons à la concentration 5UG

Sample	C(t)	I.C. C(t)	SQ
e1	37,88	33,12	6,445
e1	37,73	33,12	7,232
e2	39,38	36,36	1,982
e2	38,41	34,8	4,257
e3	37,65	34,56	7,690
e3	38,19	34,14	5,046
e4	37,92	34,09	6,237
e4	38,12	34,01	5,328
e5	38,25	32,97	4,826
e5	37,77	33,71	7,028
e6	38,99	35,71	2,685
e6	38,34	34,12	4,496
e7	38,1	34,39	5,432
e7	38,02	34,24	5,775
e8	39,21	34,53	2,268
e8	37,86	34,21	6,526
e9	37,39	32,78	9,424
e9	37,82	33,79	6,734
e10	41,57	39,12	0,356
e10	37,97	34,42	5,989
e11	38,9	34,49	2,887
e11	38,13	34,04	5,271
e12	38,93	34,35	2,816
e12	37,85	34,08	6,557
e13	37,15	32,87	11,400
e13	38,08	34,53	5,489
e14	38,19	34,68	5,041
e14	38,56	34,31	3,786
e15	37,91	34,44	6,301
e15	38,43	34,93	4,193
e16	38,04	34,35	5,667
e16	37,42	34,07	9,195
e17	37,32	32,73	9,950
e17	38,47	35,11	4,058
e18	40,81	37,79	0,645
e18	38,08	34,36	5,515
e19	37,78	34,27	6,964
e19	37,9	34,15	6,307
e20	38,16	34,63	5,147
e20	39,2	34,48	2,280
e21	37,28	33,31	10,260
e21	41,82	35,38	0,293
e22	39,31	35,69	2,096
e22	37,61	33,71	7,956
e23	37,95	34,28	6,091
e23	38,17	34,39	5,128
e24	38,14	34,38	5,261
e24	37,92	34,65	6,214
e25	37,33	33,41	9,875
e25	37,47	33,94	8,870
e26	37,84	34,55	6,629
e26	37,97	34,48	5,997
e27	38,04	34,37	5,654
e27	37,53	34,6	8,487
e28	38,98	34,64	2,712
e28	38,15	34,36	5,218
e29	37,74	33,16	7,195
e29	41,52	39	0,372
e30	38,16	34,32	5,146
e30	38,22	34,72	4,908

### Contrôle Gamme Standard

Content	C(t)	I.C. C(t)	SQ
QS1	36,02	33,04	19,00
QS1	36,06	33,22	19,00
QS2	34,01	35,6	390,00
QS2	42,26	N/A	390,00
QS3	29,99	34,11	3900,00
QS3	29,72	33,4	3900,00
QS4	26,4	33,75	39000,00
QS4	26,37	33,98	39000,00

### Contrôle négatif

Content	C(t)	I.C. C(t)	SQ
Neg Ctrl	N/A	34,62	N/A
Neg Ctrl	N/A	34,39	N/A

## Appendix D

### Limit of quantification

Results from iQ-Check™ Quanti L. spp – Extension 2011 - v01 achieved by IPL santé, environnement durables Nord:

Limite de quantification  
LQ à 15UG

Gamme de calibrage QS

	UG/puits	Moy Log (UG/puits)	C(t)
QS1	19	1,278753601	36,87
		1,278753601	37,23
QS2	390	2,591064607	33,85
		2,591064607	33,71
QS3	3900	3,591064607	29,73
		3,591064607	29,87
QS4	39000	4,591064607	26,41
		4,591064607	26,51

Pente	-3,241
Ordonnée origine	41,514
Corrélation (r <sup>2</sup> )	0,992
Efficacité (%)	103,474

LQ<sub>PCR</sub> à 15UG : 30 mesures en réplicat

	C(t)		UG/puits			
	Réplicat	Moyenne	UG/puits	Moy UG/puits	x' (Log)	Moyenne x'
LQ-1	37,55	37,71	16,7	1,50E+01	1,223	1,173
	37,87		13,4		1,124	
LQ-2	37,57	37,42	16,5	1,84E+01	1,217	1,263
	37,27		20,4		1,309	
LQ-3	37,17	37,13	22,0	2,26E+01	1,340	1,354
	37,08		23,3		1,368	
LQ-4	37,21	37,35	21,4	1,94E+01	1,328	1,285
	37,49		17,5		1,241	
LQ-5	37,53	37,58	17,0	1,64E+01	1,229	1,214
	37,63		15,8		1,198	
LQ-6	37,73	37,47	14,7	1,80E+01	1,167	1,248
	37,21		21,3		1,328	
LQ-7	36,83	36,91	27,9	2,63E+01	1,445	1,419
	37,00		24,8		1,393	
LQ-8	37,07	37,14	23,5	2,24E+01	1,371	1,349
	37,21		21,3		1,328	
LQ-9	37,73	37,7	14,8	1,50E+01	1,167	1,175
	37,68		15,2		1,183	
LQ-10	37,82	37,99	13,8	1,24E+01	1,140	1,089
	38,15		11,0		1,038	
LQ-11	37,25	37,17	20,7	2,19E+01	1,315	1,340
	37,09		23,1		1,365	
LQ-12	36,54	36,8	34,4	2,91E+01	1,534	1,454
	37,06		23,7		1,374	
LQ-13	37,35	37,31	19,3	1,99E+01	1,285	1,298
	37,26		20,5		1,312	
LQ-14	37,56	37,57	16,7	1,66E+01	1,220	1,218
	37,57		16,4		1,217	
LQ-15	37,50	37,27	17,3	2,07E+01	1,238	1,309
	37,04		24,0		1,380	
LQ-16	36,48	36,93	35,7	2,73E+01	1,553	1,416
	37,37		19,0		1,278	
LQ-17	37,13	37,28	22,5	2,04E+01	1,352	1,306
	37,43		18,3		1,260	
LQ-18	37,39	37,52	18,7	1,72E+01	1,272	1,232
	37,65		15,6		1,192	
LQ-19	36,69	36,85	30,8	2,77E+01	1,488	1,440
	37,00		24,7		1,393	
LQ-20	36,57	36,85	33,5	2,80E+01	1,525	1,439
	37,13		22,5		1,352	
LQ-21	37,70	37,44	15,0	1,84E+01	1,177	1,257
	37,18		21,8		1,337	
LQ-22	37,75	37,72	14,5	1,49E+01	1,161	1,172
	37,68		15,2		1,183	
LQ-23	37,29	37,27	20,2	2,04E+01	1,303	1,309
	37,25		20,7		1,315	
LQ-24	37,00	36,97	24,7	2,52E+01	1,393	1,402
	36,94		25,8		1,411	
LQ-25	37,29	37,46	20,1	1,80E+01	1,303	1,252
	37,62		15,9		1,201	
LQ-26	37,55	37,09	16,7	2,44E+01	1,223	1,365
	36,63		32,1		1,507	
LQ-27	36,54	36,78	34,3	2,94E+01	1,534	1,460
	37,02		24,4		1,386	
LQ-28	36,83	36,91	28,0	2,64E+01	1,445	1,420
	36,99		24,9		1,396	
LQ-29	37,58	37,37	16,4	1,93E+01	1,214	1,280
	37,15		22,3		1,346	
LQ-30	37,12	37,23	22,8	2,11E+01	1,356	1,322
	37,34		19,5		1,288	

Moyenne x'	1,309
Ecart-type s	0,097
Biais	0,030
Exactitude de LQ E <sub>LQ</sub>	0,101
Incertitude U <sub>LQ</sub>	0,207



## Appendix E

### Yield and robustness

Results from iQ-Check™ Quanti L. spp – Extension 2011 - v01 achieved by IPL santé, environnement durables Nord:

Robustesse Eau Chaude Sanitaire

Protocole Aquadien

Niveau N1  
100 000 UG/L

Echantillon	Valeur du dopage		Résultat analyse				Rendement	
	UG/puits	A (log)	C(t)	UG/puits	Moyenne UG/puits	B (log)	log	%
EC1N1	6,55E+02	5,02	29,43	1,31E+03	1,20E+03	4,59	-0,44	37%
EC2N1	6,55E+02	5,02	29,67	1,10E+03	1,07E+03	4,53	-0,49	33%
			29,73	1,06E+03				
EC3N1	6,28E+02	5,00	29,41	1,19E+03	1,22E+03	4,59	-0,41	39%
			29,35	1,25E+03				
EC4N1	6,28E+02	5,00	29,32	1,27E+03	1,28E+03	4,61	-0,39	41%
			29,31	1,29E+03				
EC5N1	4,31E+02	4,84	29,24	1,46E+03	1,32E+03	4,63	-0,21	61%
			29,5	1,20E+03				
EC6N1	4,31E+02	4,84	29,31	1,39E+03	1,35E+03	4,64	-0,20	63%
			29,38	1,31E+03				
E7N1-100	3,27E+04	6,72	31	5,01E+02	5,89E+02	6,27	-0,52	30%
			30,59	6,91E+02				
E8N1-100	3,27E+04	6,72	30,88	5,52E+02	6,12E+02	6,29	-0,50	31%
			30,62	6,78E+02				
E9N1-100	3,27E+04	6,72	30,8	5,89E+02	6,10E+02	6,29	-0,50	31%
			30,7	6,32E+02				
EC10N1	7,10E+03	6,06	25,8	2,11E+04	2,22E+04	5,85	-0,20	63%
			25,66	2,34E+04				

Rendement moyen pour le niveau 100 000 UG/L

-0,39 41%

Niveau N2  
1 000 UG/L

Echantillon	Valeur du dopage		Résultat analyse				Rendement	
	UG/puits	A (log)	C(t)	UG/puits	Moyenne UG/puits	B (log)	log	%
EC1N2	2,32E+04	6,57	30,25	9,11E+02	9,17E+02	6,47	-0,10	79%
EC2N2	2,32E+04	6,57	30,23	9,23E+02	1,00E+03	6,51	-0,06	87%
			30,13	1,00E+03				
EC3N2	4,04E+04	6,81	30,24	8,24E+02	8,86E+02	6,45	-0,28	53%
			30,05	9,53E+02				
EC4N2	4,04E+04	6,81	30,08	9,29E+02	9,74E+02	6,49	-0,23	58%
			29,96	1,02E+03				
EC5N2	4,04E+04	6,81	30,12	9,00E+02	9,54E+02	6,48	-0,24	57%
			29,98	1,01E+03				
EC6N2	3,27E+04	6,72	30,29	8,76E+02	9,02E+02	6,46	-0,33	46%
			30,21	9,28E+02				
EC7N2	3,27E+04	6,72	30,24	9,07E+02	9,58E+02	6,49	-0,31	49%
			30,1	1,01E+03				
EC8N2	7,10E+03	6,06	31,82	2,28E+02	2,09E+02	5,82	-0,23	59%
			32,06	1,91E+02				
EC9N2	7,21E+04	7,06	30,05	1,07E+03	1,05E+03	6,53	-0,53	29%
			30,09	1,04E+03				
EC10N2	7,21E+04	7,06	30,38	8,41E+02	9,15E+02	6,47	-0,60	25%
			30,15	9,95E+02				

Rendement moyen pour le niveau 1 000 UG/L

-0,29 51%

Rendement moyen Eau chaude sanitaire Aquadien

-0,34 46%

Protocole Aquadien W2

Echantillon	Valeur du dopage		Résultat analyse				Rendement	
	UG/puits	A (log)	C(t)	UG/puits	Moyenne UG/puits	B (log)	log	%
EC2N1W	2,32E+04	6,57	25,79	3,27E+04	3,40E+04	6,09	-0,48	33%
EC2N1W	2,32E+04	6,57	25,69	3,53E+04	2,93E+04	6,02	-0,55	28%
			25,88	3,03E+04				
EC3N1W	4,31E+02	4,84	25,97	2,83E+04	6,44E+02	4,37	-0,47	34%
			30,3	6,48E+02				
EC4N1W	4,31E+02	4,84	30,32	6,40E+02	7,05E+02	4,40	-0,43	37%
			30,16	7,22E+02				
E5N1W-100	3,27E+04	6,72	30,22	6,89E+02	7,12E+02	6,41	-0,38	41%
			30,53	7,23E+02				
E6N1W-100	3,27E+04	6,72	30,57	7,02E+02	8,14E+02	6,47	-0,33	47%
			30,4	8,00E+02				
E7N1W-100	3,27E+04	6,72	30,36	8,29E+02	8,37E+02	6,48	-0,31	48%
			30,38	8,17E+02				
EC8N1W	7,10E+03	6,06	30,31	8,58E+02	9,92E+03	5,55	-0,50	31%
			26,87	9,45E+03				
E9N1W	7,00E+04	7,05	26,74	1,04E+04	8,75E+04	6,50	-0,55	28%
			23,82	9,00E+04				
E10N1W	7,00E+04	7,05	23,89	8,51E+04	1,06E+05	6,5804756	-0,47	34%
			23,57	1,08E+05				
			23,63	1,03E+05				

Rendement moyen pour le niveau 100 000 UG/L

-0,45 36%

Echantillon	Valeur du dopage		Résultat analyse				Rendement	
	UG/puits	A (log)	C(t)	UG/puits	Moyenne UG/puits	B (log)	log	%
EC1N2W	6,55E+02	5,02	34,5	3,21E+01	3,21E+01	5,06	0,04	110%
EC2N2W	6,55E+02	5,02	35,3	1,78E+01	2,43E+01	4,94	-0,08	83%
			34,74	2,68E+01				
EC3N2W	6,28E+02	5,00	35,01	2,20E+01	2,10E+01	4,88	-0,12	75%
			34,97	2,10E+01				
EC4N2W	6,28E+02	5,00	36,8	5,51E+00	2,46E+01	4,95	-0,05	88%
			34,74	2,46E+01				
EC5N2W	2,32E+04	6,57	35,97	1,01E+01	4,58E+02	6,22	-0,35	45%
			31,16	4,39E+02				
EC6N2W	2,32E+04	6,57	31,05	4,79E+02	4,62E+02	6,22	-0,35	45%
			30,97	5,09E+02				
EC7N2W	4,31E+02	4,84	31,21	4,19E+02	2,09E+01	4,88	0,04	109%
			34,78	2,09E+01				
EC8N2W	4,31E+02	4,84	35,52	1,18E+01	2,07E+01	4,87	0,03	108%
			34,8	2,07E+01				
EC9N2W	7,10E+03	6,06	35,57	1,15E+01	1,96E+02	5,85	-0,21	62%
			32,14	1,80E+02				
E10N2W	7,00E+04	7,05	31,91	2,14E+02	9,54E+02	6,48	-0,56	27%
			30,05	8,90E+02				
			29,87	1,02E+03				

Rendement moyen pour le niveau 1 000 UG/L

-0,16 69%

Rendement moyen Eau chaude sanitaire Aquadien W2

-0,30 50%

Robustesse Tour Aéroréfrigérante

Protocole Aquadien

Niveau N1  
100 000 UG/L

Echantillon	Valeur du dopage		C(t)	Résultat analyse			Rendement	
	UG/puits	A (log)		UG/puits	Moyenne UG/puits	B (log)	log	%
T1N1	2,32E+04	6,57	25,53	4,01E+04	4,31E+04	6,14	-0,43	37%
T2N1	2,32E+04	6,57	25,35	4,64E+04	4,75E+04	6,18	-0,39	41%
			25,39	4,52E+04				
			25,26	5,00E+04				
T3N1	4,04E+04	6,81	23,7	1,45E+05	1,53E+05	6,69	-0,04	91%
			23,57	1,61E+05				
T4N1	4,04E+04	6,81	23,84	1,52E+05	1,55E+05	6,70	-0,03	93%
			23,58	1,59E+05				
T5N1	4,04E+04	6,81	23,6	1,57E+05	1,76E+05	6,75	0,02	105%
			23,3	1,98E+05				
T6N1-100	3,27E+04	6,72	31,02	4,95E+02	5,36E+02	6,23	-0,49	32%
			30,81	5,80E+02				
T7N1-100	3,27E+04	6,72	31,06	4,78E+02	5,34E+02	6,23	-0,50	32%
			30,78	5,95E+02				
T8N1-100	3,27E+04	6,72	31,09	4,68E+02	5,27E+02	6,23	-0,50	31%
			30,79	5,93E+02				
T9N1	7,10E+03	6,06	26,26	1,49E+04	1,58E+04	5,70	-0,35	45%
			26,1	1,68E+04				
T10N1	7,21E+04	7,06	23,41	1,46E+05	1,44E+05	6,66	-0,40	40%
			23,45	1,42E+05				

Rendement moyen pour le niveau 100 000 UG/L

-0,31 49%

Niveau N2  
1 000 UG/L

Echantillon	Valeur du dopage		C(t)	Résultat analyse			Rendement	
	UG/puits	A (log)		UG/puits	Moyenne UG/puits	B (log)	log	%
T1N2	6,55E+02	5,02	34,72	2,72E+01	2,67E+01	4,93	-0,09	82%
T2N2	6,55E+02	5,02	34,77	2,62E+01	2,89E+01	4,97	-0,05	88%
			34,58	3,01E+01				
T3N2	6,28E+02	5,00	34,7	2,77E+01	3,22E+01	5,01	0,01	103%
			34,36	3,27E+01				
T4N2	6,28E+02	5,00	34,39	3,18E+01	2,55E+01	4,91	-0,09	81%
			34,94	2,13E+01				
T5N2	2,32E+04	6,57	34,45	3,04E+01	5,73E+02	6,26	-0,31	50%
			30,86	5,56E+02				
T6N2	2,32E+04	6,57	30,78	5,91E+02	5,90E+02	6,28	-0,29	51%
			30,84	5,64E+02				
T7N2	4,04E+04	6,81	30,73	6,18E+02	1,61E+03	6,76	0,04	108%
			29,4	1,59E+03				
T8N2	4,04E+04	6,81	29,37	1,64E+03	1,36E+03	6,69	-0,04	91%
			29,78	1,56E+03				
T9N2-100	3,27E+04	6,72	N/A	N/A	1,66E+01	6,73	0,00	99%
T10N2	7,10E+03	6,06	35,35	1,66E+01	3,12E+02	6,00	-0,06	88%
			31,45	3,01E+02				
			31,35	3,24E+02				

Rendement moyen pour le niveau 1 000 UG/L

-0,09 82%

Rendement moyen Tour aéroréfrigérante Aquadien

-0,20 63%

Protocole Aquadien W2

Echantillon	Valeur du dopage		C(t)	Résultat analyse			Rendement	
	UG/puits	A (log)		UG/puits	Moyenne UG/puits	B (log)	log	%
T1N1W	6,55E+02	5,02	29,71	1,08E+03	1,07E+03	4,59	-0,43	37%
T2N1W	2,32E+04	6,57	29,72	1,07E+03				
			26,11	2,52E+04	2,54E+04	5,96	-0,61	25%
T3N1W	4,31E+02	4,84	26,1	2,59E+04				
			30,35	6,26E+02	6,10E+02	4,34	-0,50	32%
T4N1W	4,31E+02	4,84	30,41	5,95E+02				
			30,28	6,68E+02	6,50E+02	4,37	-0,47	34%
T5N1W	4,04E+04	6,81	30,33	6,33E+02				
			24,96	5,35E+04	5,26E+04	6,28	-0,45	35%
T6N1W	4,04E+04	6,81	25	5,17E+04				
T7N1W	4,04E+04	6,81	25,24	4,27E+04	4,64E+04	6,22	-0,51	31%
			25,03	5,04E+04				
T8N1W-100	3,27E+04	6,72	25,16	4,57E+04	4,75E+04	6,23	-0,50	32%
			25,06	4,95E+04				
T9N1W	7,10E+03	6,06	31,47	3,47E+02	3,68E+02	6,12	-0,61	25%
			31,32	3,90E+02				
T10N1W	7,00E+04	7,05	26,14	1,63E+04	1,77E+04	5,80	-0,25	56%
			25,92	1,92E+04				
			23,3	1,32E+05	1,27E+05	6,66	-0,39	41%
			23,41	1,22E+05				

Rendement moyen pour le niveau 100 000 UG/L

-0,47 34%

Echantillon	Valeur du dopage		C(t)	Résultat analyse			Rendement	
	UG/puits	A (log)		UG/puits	Moyenne UG/puits	B (log)	log	%
T1N2W	2,32E+04	6,57	31,53	3,24E+02	2,91E+02	6,02	-0,55	28%
T2N2W	2,32E+04	6,57	31,8	2,62E+02				
			31,71	2,81E+02	3,02E+02	6,04	-0,53	29%
T3N2W	4,31E+02	4,84	31,53	3,24E+02				
			34,8	2,06E+01	2,06E+01	4,87	0,03	108%
T4N2W	4,04E+04	6,81	35,64	1,08E+01				
			30,74	5,51E+02	5,02E+02	6,21	-0,52	30%
T5N2W	4,04E+04	6,81	30,98	4,58E+02				
			30,8	5,27E+02	4,93E+02	6,20	-0,53	29%
T6N2W	4,04E+04	6,81	30,97	4,60E+02				
			30,9	4,86E+02	4,77E+02	6,18	-0,54	29%
T7N2W	7,10E+03	6,06	30,95	4,69E+02				
			31,76	2,39E+02	2,16E+02	5,89	-0,16	68%
T8N2W	7,21E+04	7,06	32,03	1,95E+02				
			30,45	7,96E+02	8,12E+02	6,47	-0,60	25%
T9N2W	7,00E+04	7,05	30,4	8,28E+02				
			30,29	7,46E+02	8,27E+02	6,47	-0,58	27%
T10N2W	7,00E+04	7,05	30,01	9,17E+02				
			29,68	1,18E+03	1,04E+03	6,57	-0,48	33%

Rendement moyen pour le niveau 1 000 UG/L

-0,45 36%

Rendement moyen Tour aéroréfrigérante Aquadien W2

-0,46 35%

## Robustesse Eau Minérale

### Protocole Aquadien

Niveau N1  
100 000 UG/L

Echantillon	Valeur du dopage UG/puits A (log)		C(t)	Résultat analyse UG/puits Moyenne UG/puits		B (log)	Rendement log %	
M1N1	6,55E+02	5,02	29,61 29,65	1,15E+03 1,12E+03	1,14E+03	4,56	-0,46	35%
M2N1	6,55E+02	5,02	29,37 29,47	1,37E+03 1,28E+03	1,33E+03	4,63	-0,39	41%
M3N1	6,28E+02	5,00	29,38 29,22	1,22E+03 1,37E+03	1,29E+03	4,62	-0,39	41%
M4N1	6,28E+02	5,00	29,29 29,14	1,30E+03 1,46E+03	1,38E+03	4,64	-0,36	44%
M5N1	2,32E+04	6,57	25,71 25,65	3,48E+04 3,65E+04	3,56E+04	6,06	-0,51	31%
M6N1	2,32E+04	6,57	25,74 25,64	3,41E+04 3,70E+04	3,55E+04	6,06	-0,51	31%
M7N1	4,31E+02	4,84	29,59 29,65	1,12E+03 1,07E+03	1,09E+03	4,54	-0,29	51%
M8N1	4,31E+02	4,84	29,32 29,54	1,37E+03 1,17E+03	1,27E+03	4,61	-0,23	59%
M9N1	4,04E+04	6,81	25,02 24,87	5,11E+04 5,76E+04	5,42E+04	6,24	-0,49	32%
M10N1	4,04E+04	6,81	25,17 24,87	4,52E+04 5,73E+04	5,09E+04	6,21	-0,52	30%

Rendement moyen pour le niveau 100 000 UG/L

**-0,42** 38%

Niveau N2  
1 000 UG/L

Echantillon	Valeur du dopage UG/puits A (log)		C(t)	Résultat analyse UG/puits Moyenne UG/puits		B (log)	Rendement log %	
M1N2	6,55E+02	5,02	33,66 34,66	5,91E+01 2,84E+01	4,09E+01	5,12	0,10	125%
M2N2	6,55E+02	5,02	34,2 34,72	3,99E+01 2,72E+01	3,29E+01	5,02	0,00	101%
M3N2	6,28E+02	5,00	34,05 33,88	4,08E+01 4,62E+01	4,34E+01	5,14	0,14	138%
M4N2	2,32E+04	6,57	31,09 31,08	4,64E+02 4,66E+02	4,65E+02	6,17	-0,40	40%
M5N2	2,32E+04	6,57	31,13 31,01	4,49E+02 4,94E+02	4,71E+02	6,18	-0,39	41%
M6N2	4,04E+04	6,81	29,85 29,82	1,12E+03 1,15E+03	1,13E+03	6,56	-0,17	68%
M7N2	4,04E+04	6,81	29,81 29,85	1,15E+03 1,12E+03	1,14E+03	6,56	-0,17	68%
M8N2	7,10E+03	6,06	32,53 32,61	1,34E+02 1,26E+02	1,30E+02	5,62	-0,44	37%
M9N2	7,21E+04	7,06	30,22 30,19	9,47E+02 9,65E+02	9,56E+02	6,49	-0,58	27%
M10N2	7,00E+04	7,05	30,12 30,08	8,46E+02 8,71E+02	8,59E+02	6,44	-0,61	25%

Rendement moyen pour le niveau 1 000 UG/L

**-0,25** 56%

Rendement moyen Eau minérale Aquadien

**-0,33** 46%

### Protocole Aquadien W2

Echantillon	Valeur du dopage UG/puits A (log)		C(t)	Résultat analyse UG/puits Moyenne UG/puits		B (log)	Rendement log %	
M1N1W	6,55E+02	5,02	30,16 30,22	7,73E+02 7,37E+02	7,55E+02	4,43	-0,59	26%
M2N1W	6,55E+02	5,02	29,64 30,04	1,13E+03 8,40E+02	8,40E+02	4,48	-0,54	29%
M3N1W	6,28E+02	5,00	29,89 29,71	8,42E+02 9,60E+02	8,99E+02	4,51	-0,49	32%
M4N1W	6,28E+02	5,00	29,74 29,82	9,43E+02 8,89E+02	9,16E+02	4,52	-0,48	33%
M5N1W	4,31E+02	4,84	30,01 30,04	8,09E+02 7,92E+02	8,01E+02	4,46	-0,38	42%
M6N1W	4,31E+02	4,84	29,99 30	8,24E+02 8,16E+02	8,20E+02	4,47	-0,37	43%
M7N1W-100	3,27E+04	6,72	29,29 30,83	1,92E+03 5,73E+02	1,05E+03	6,58	-0,22	61%
M8N1W-100	3,27E+04	6,72	30,76 30,69	6,08E+02 6,39E+02	6,23E+02	6,35	-0,44	36%
M9N1W	7,10E+03	6,06	26,68 26,59	1,09E+04 1,16E+04	1,13E+04	5,61	-0,45	36%
M10N1W	7,21E+04	7,06	24,4 24,47	7,05E+04 6,66E+04	6,85E+04	6,39	-0,60	25%

Rendement moyen pour le niveau 100 000 UG/L

**-0,46** 35%

Echantillon	Valeur du dopage UG/puits A (log)		C(t)	Résultat analyse UG/puits Moyenne UG/puits		B (log)	Rendement log %	
M1N2W	2,32E+04	6,57	31,84 31,74	2,53E+02 2,75E+02	2,64E+02	5,98	-0,59	26%
M2N2W	2,32E+04	6,57	31,78 31,83	2,66E+02 2,56E+02	2,61E+02	5,97	-0,60	25%
M3N2W	7,10E+03	6,06	32,7 32,93	1,18E+02 9,90E+01	1,08E+02	5,59	-0,47	34%

Rendement moyen pour le niveau 1 000 UG/L

**-0,55** 28%

Rendement moyen Eau minérale Aquadien W2

**-0,50** 31%

## Robustesse Eau chaude sanitaire

Echantillon	Valeur de dosage		Résultat analyse				Rendement	
	UG/puits	A(log)	C(t)	UG/puits	Moyenne UG/puits	B(log)	log	%
1ECS1	3.92E+01	3.8	33.48 33.45	6.29E+01 6.41E+01	6.35E+01	3.31	-0.49	32.4
2ECS1	3.92E+01	3.8	33.12 33.2	8.34E+01 7.77E+01	8.01E+01	3.41	-0.39	40.7
3ECS1	4.48E+01	3.86	32.75 32.6	7.47E+01 8.48E+01	7.98E+01	3.41	-0.45	35.5
4ECS1	4.48E+01	3.86	32.37 32.4	1.03E+02 1.00E+02	1.02E+02	3.51	-0.35	44.7
5ECS1	4.89E+01	3.89	32.1 32.04	1.03E+02 1.08E+02	1.06E+02	3.53	-0.36	43.7
6ECS1	4.89E+01	3.89	31.74 32	1.40E+02 1.12E+02	1.26E+02	3.6	-0.29	51.3
7ECS1	5.33E+01	3.93	31.79 31.33	1.18E+02 1.76E+02	1.47E+02	3.72	-0.21	61.7
8ECS1	5.33E+01	3.93	31.75 31.77	1.22E+02 1.20E+02	1.21E+02	3.64	-0.29	51.3
9ECS1	6.22E+01	4	33.45 33.13	7.29E+01 9.35E+01	8.32E+01	3.43	-0.57	26.9
10ECS1	6.22E+01	4	32.47 32.51	1.59E+02 1.54E+02	1.57E+02	3.7	-0.3	50.1
Aquadien Short Protocol			Rendement moyen pour le niveau 1 000 UG/L				-0.37	43.8

Echantillon	Valeur de dosage		Résultat analyse				Rendement	
	UG/puits	A(log)	C(t)	UG/puits	Moyenne UG/puits	B(log)	log	%
1ECS1W	3.92E+01	3.8	32.61 32.37	1.22E+02 1.48E+02	1.35E+02	3.69	-0.11	77.6
2ECS1W	3.92E+01	3.8	32.84 32.89	1.03E+02 9.85E+01	1.01E+02	3.56	-0.24	57.5
3ECS1W	4.95E+01	3.9	32.11 32.04	1.08E+02 1.14E+02	1.11E+02	3.6	-0.3	50.1
4ECS1W	4.95E+01	3.9	32.17 32.08	1.03E+02 1.11E+02	1.07E+02	3.59	-0.31	49
5ECS1W	4.48E+01	3.86	32.14 32.07	1.25E+02 1.33E+02	1.29E+02	3.67	-0.19	64.6
6ECS1W	4.48E+01	3.86	32.16 32.2	1.24E+02 1.19E+02	1.22E+02	3.64	-0.22	60.3
7ECS1W	4.89E+01	3.89	31.1 32.04	1.03E+02 1.08E+02	1.06E+02	3.58	-0.31	49
8ECS1W	4.89E+01	3.89	31.74 32	1.40E+02 1.12E+02	1.26E+02	3.66	-0.23	58.9
9ECS1W	6.22E+01	4	32.34 32.32	1.76E+02 1.78E+02	1.77E+02	3.8	-0.2	63.1
10ECS1W	6.22E+01	4	33.06 33.22	9.96E+01 9.72E+01	9.34E+01	3.53	-0.47	33.9
W2 Short Protocol			Rendement moyen pour le niveau 1 000 UG/L				-0.26	56.1

Echantillon	Valeur de dosage		Résultat analyse				Rendement	
	UG/puits	A(log)	C(t)	UG/puits	Moyenne UG/puits	B(log)	log	%
1ECS2	2.39E+03	5.58	27.06 27.09	9.24E+03 9.04E+03	9.14E+03	5.47	-0.11	77.6
2ECS2	2.39E+03	5.58	27.31 27.33	7.58E+03 7.50E+03	7.54E+03	5.38	-0.2	63.1
3ECS2	3.43E+03	5.74	27.34 27.26	7.62E+03 8.15E+03	7.88E+03	5.4	-0.34	45.7
4ECS2	3.43E+03	5.74	27.41 27.4	7.20E+03 7.21E+03	7.21E+03	5.36	-0.38	41.7
5ECS2	3.43E+03	5.74	27.46 27.38	6.90E+03 7.34E+03	7.12E+03	5.36	-0.38	41.7
6ECS2	3.43E+03	5.74	27.23 27.13	83827891 8.12E+03	8.75E+03	5.45	-0.29	51.3
7ECS2	3.11E+04	5.7	27.03 26.88	7.92E+03 9.00E+03	8.46E+03	5.48	-0.22	60.3
8ECS2	3.11E+04	5.7	27.29 27.28	6.29E+03 6.36E+03	6.33E+03	5.36	-0.34	45.7
9ECS2	4.33E+03	5.84	27.5 27.37	8.37E+03 9.30E+03	8.83E+03	5.45	-0.39	40.7
10ECS2	4.33E+03	5.84	27.34 27.45	9.49E+03 8.69E+03	9.09E+03	5.46	-0.38	41.7
			Rendement moyen pour le niveau 100 000 UG/L				-0.30	51.0
Rendement moyen Eau chaude sanitaire Aquadien Short Protocol							-0.34	47.1

Echantillon	Valeur de dosage		Résultat analyse				Rendement	
	UG/puits	A(log)	C(t)	UG/puits	Moyenne UG/puits	B(log)	log	%
1ECS2W	2.39E+03	5.58	27.69 27.64	5.65E+03 5.88E+03	5.76E+03	5.32	-0.26	55
2ECS2W	2.39E+03	5.58	27.68 27.6	5.68E+03 6.02E+03	5.85E+03	5.32	-0.26	55
3ECS2W	2.67E+03	5.63	27 27.01	7.89E+03 7.81E+03	7.85E+03	5.45	-0.18	66.1
4ECS2W	2.67E+03	5.63	26.88 27.03	8.71E+03 7.67E+03	8.19E+03	5.47	-0.16	69.2
5ECS2W	3.43E+03	5.74	27.15 27.07	8.95E+03 9.58E+03	9.27E+03	5.52	-0.22	60.3
6ECS2W	3.43E+03	5.74	27.18 27.28	8.76E+03 8.00E+03	8.38E+03	5.48	-0.26	55
7ECS2W	3.13E+03	5.7	27.08 27.01	7.54E+03 8.03E+03	7.78E+03	5.45	-0.25	56.2
8ECS2W	3.13E+03	5.7	27.06 27.11	7.66E+03 7.36E+03	7.51E+03	5.43	-0.27	53.7
9ECS2W	4.33E+03	5.84	26.85 27.05	1.40E+04 1.20E+04	1.30E+04	5.67	-0.17	67.6
10ECS2W	4.33E+03	5.84	27.16 27.21	1.09E+04 1.05E+04	1.07E+04	5.59	-0.25	56.2
			Rendement moyen pour le niveau 100 000 UG/L				-0.23	59.1
Rendement moyen Eau chaude sanitaire W2 Short Protocol							-0.24	57.9

Echantillon	Valeur de dopage		Résultat analyse				Rendement	
	UG/puits	A(log)	C(t)	UG/puits	Moyenne UG/puits	B(log)	log	%
1ECS1F	3.92E+01	3.8	33.56 33.49	5.85E+01 6.20E+01	6.02E+01	3.34	-0.46	34.7
2ECS1F	3.92E+01	3.8	33.6 33.64	5.57E+01 5.50E+01	5.53E+01	3.3	-0.5	31.6
3ECS1F	4.89E+01	3.89	32.29 32.11	8.76E+01 1.02E+02	9.48E+01	3.53	-0.36	43.7
4ECS1F	4.89E+01	3.89	31.83 32	1.30E+02 1.12E+02	1.21E+02	3.64	-0.25	56.2
5ECS1F	5.82E+01	3.97	31.49 31.42	1.52E+02 1.62E+02	1.57E+02	3.75	-0.22	60.3
6ECS1F	5.82E+01	3.97	31.92 31.88	1.05E+02 1.09E+02	1.07E+02	3.59	-0.38	41.7
7ECS1F	3.44E+01	3.74	32.41 32.44	9.89E+01 9.61E+01	9.75E+01	3.55	-0.19	64.6
8ECS1F	3.44E+01	3.74	32.38 32.31	1.02E+02 1.07E+02	1.04E+02	3.57	-0.17	67.6
9ECS1F	6.22E+01	4	32.4 32.5	1.69E+02 1.55E+02	1.62E+02	3.76	-0.24	57.5
10ECS1F	6.22E+01	4	32.43 32.63	1.64E+02 1.40E+02	1.52E+02	3.74	-0.26	55

FDRS Short Protocol

Rendement moyen pour le niveau 1 000 UG/L

-0.30 51.3

Echantillon	Valeur de dopage		Résultat analyse				Rendement	
	UG/puits	A(log)	C(t)	UG/puits	Moyenne UG/puits	B(log)	log	%
1ECS2F	2.39E+03	5.58	26.93 26.91	1.02E+04 1.03E+04	1.03E+04	5.57	-0.01	97.7
2ECS2F	2.39E+03	5.58	27.41 27.45	7.01E+03 6.78E+03	6.90E+03	5.4	-0.18	66.1
3ECS2F	3.11E+04	5.7	27.52 27.46	5.20E+03 5.48E+03	5.34E+03	5.28	-0.42	38.01
4ECS2F	3.11E+04	5.7	26.95 26.97	8.44E+03 8.29E+03	8.37E+03	5.48	-0.22	60.3
5ECS2F	3.06E+03	5.69	26.54 26.45	1.09E+04 1.18E+04	1.14E+04	5.61	-0.08	83.2
6ECS2F	3.06E+03	5.69	26.92 26.95	7.91E+03 7.67E+03	7.79E+03	5.45	-0.24	57.5
7ECS2F	3.21E+03	5.71	27.15 27.13	6.46E+03 6.58E+03	6.52E+03	5.37	-0.34	45.7
8ECS2F	3.21E+03	5.71	26.69 26.62	9.65E+03 1.02E+04	9.93E+03	5.55	-0.16	69.2
9ECS2F	4.33E+03	5.84	27.17 27.15	1.09E+04 1.10E+04	1.10E+04	5.6	-0.24	57.5
10ECS2F	4.33E+03	5.84	27.36 27.33	9.36E+03 9.56E+03	9.46E+03	5.53	-0.31	49

Rendement moyen pour le niveau 100 000 UG/L

-0.22 62.4

Rendement moyen Eau chaude sanitaire FDRS Short Protocol

-0.26 56.9

Robustesse Eau minérale

Echantillon	Valeur de dosage		Résultat analyse				Rendement	
	UG/puits	A(log)	C(t)	UG/puits	Moyenne UG/puits	B(log)	log	%
1EMI1	3.92E+01	3.8	33.12	8.23E+01	8.18E+01	3.42	-0.38	41.7
			33.14	8.13E+01				
2EMI1	3.92E+01	3.8	32.86	1.01E+02	1.02E+02	3.51	-0.29	51.3
			32.83	1.04E+02				
3EMI1	6.49E+01	4.02	32.57	1.22E+02	1.23E+02	3.6	-0.42	38
			32.53	1.25E+02				
4EMI1	6.49E+01	4.02	32.78	1.03E+02	9.23E+01	3.47	-0.55	28.2
			33.07	8.20E+01				
5EMI1	3.74E+01	3.78	32.31	1.07E+02	1.12E+02	3.55	-0.23	58.9
			32.22	1.16E+02				
6EMI1	3.74E+01	3.78	33.28	4.83E+01	4.80E+01	3.19	-0.59	25.7
			33.29	4.78E+01				
7EMI1	6.43E+01	4.01	31.96	1.31E+02	1.35E+02	3.64	-0.37	42.7
			31.89	1.39E+02				
8EMI1	6.43E+01	4.01	32.2	1.08E+02	1.10E+02	3.54	-0.47	33.9
			32.17	1.11E+02				
9EMI1	6.22E+01	4	32.65	1.38E+02	1.46E+02	3.67	-0.33	46.8
			32.5	1.54E+02				
10EMI1	6.22E+01	4	32.53	1.51E+02	1.40E+02	3.65	-0.35	44.7
			32.74	1.28E+02				
Aquadien Short Protocol			Rendement moyen pour le niveau 1 000 UG/L				-0.40	41.2

Echantillon	Valeur de dosage		Résultat analyse				Rendement	
	UG/puits	A(log)	C(t)	UG/puits	Moyenne UG/puits	B(log)	log	%
1EMI1W	3.92E+01	3.8	33.18	7.89E+01	7.94E+01	3.46	-0.34	45.7
			33.16	7.99E+01				
2EMI1W	3.92E+01	3.8	33.29	7.23E+01	7.30E+01	3.42	-0.38	41.7
			33.27	7.36E+01				
3EMI1W	6.43E+01	4.01	32.82	9.98E+01	9.63E+01	3.54	-0.47	33.9
			32.91	9.29E+01				
4EMI1W	6.43E+01	4.01	32.73	7.00E+01	7.07E+01	3.41	-0.6	25.1
			32.7	7.13E+01				
5EMI1W	4.95E+01	3.9	31.91	1.28E+02	1.29E+02	3.67	-0.23	58.9
			31.88	1.31E+02				
6EMI1W	4.95E+01	3.9	32.54	7.57E+01	7.20E+01	3.41	-0.49	32.4
			33.66	6.82E+01				
7EMI1W	4.48E+01	3.86	32.39	1.01E+02	1.04E+02	3.57	-0.29	51.3
			32.33	1.07E+02				
8EMI1W	4.48E+01	3.86	33.07	5.67E+01	5.48E+01	3.3	-0.56	27.5
			33.15	5.30E+01				
9EMI1W	6.22E+01	4	32.43	1.63E+02	1.70E+02	3.79	-0.21	61.7
			32.34	1.76E+02				
10EMI1W	6.22E+01	4	32.38	1.71E+02	1.69E+02	3.79	-0.21	61.7
			32.4	1.68E+02				
W2 Short Protocol			Rendement moyen pour le niveau 1 000 UG/L				-0.38	44.0

Echantillon	Valeur de dosage		Résultat analyse				Rendement	
	UG/puits	A(log)	C(t)	UG/puits	Moyenne UG/puits	B(log)	log	%
1EMI2	2.39E+03	5.58	27.27	7.80E+03	7.59E+03	5.38	-0.2	63.1
			27.34	7.38E+03				
2EMI2	2.39E+03	5.58	27.34	7.42E+03	7.13E+03	5.35	-0.22	60.3
			27.44	6.84E+03				
3EMI2	2.65E+03	5.63	27.35	7.70E+03	7.83E+03	5.4	-0.23	58.9
			27.31	7.97E+03				
4EMI2	2.65E+03	5.63	27.42	7.30E+03	7.40E+03	5.38	-0.25	56.2
			27.39	7.49E+03				
5EMI2	3.33E+03	5.73	27.12	7.75E+03	7.86E+03	5.4	-0.33	46.8
			27.09	7.98E+03				
6EMI2	3.33E+03	5.73	26.95	8.94E+03	8.70E+03	5.44	-0.29	51.3
			27.02	8.45E+03				
7EMI2	2.67E+03	5.63	27.17	6.85E+03	7.13E+03	5.36	-0.27	53.7
			27.07	7.41E+03				
8EMI2	2.67E+03	5.63	27.06	7.50E+03	7.63E+03	5.39	-0.24	57.4
			27.02	7.77E+03				
9EMI2	4.33E+03	5.84	27.56	7.97E+03	8.31E+03	5.42	-0.42	38.01
			27.45	8.66E+03				
10EMI2	4.33E+03	5.84	27.36	9.34E+03	9.64E+03	5.49	-0.35	44.7
			27.28	9.93E+03				
Rendement moyen pour le niveau 100 000 UG/L							-0.28	53.0
Rendement moyen Eau minérale Aquadien Short Protocol							-0.34	47.1

Echantillon	Valeur de dosage		Résultat analyse				Rendement	
	UG/puits	A(log)	C(t)	UG/puits	Moyenne UG/puits	B(log)	log	%
1EMI2W	2.39E+03	5.58	28.11	4.20E+03	4.09E+03	5.17	-0.41	38.9
			28.18	3.99E+03				
2EMI2W	2.39E+03	5.58	28.6	2.79E+03	2.65E+03	4.98	-0.6	25.1
			28.72	2.52E+03				
3EMI2W	2.65E+03	5.63	28.34	3.51E+03	3.50E+03	5.1	-0.53	29.5
			28.35	3.50E+03				
4EMI2W	2.65E+03	5.63	28.15	4.09E+03	4.25E+03	5.18	-0.35	44.7
			28.05	4.41E+03				
5EMI2W	2.67E+03	5.63	27.69	4.45E+03	4.89E+03	5.25	-0.38	41.7
			27.48	5.33E+03				
6EMI2W	2.67E+03	5.63	27.9	3.77E+03	3.78E+03	5.13	-0.5	31.6
			27.89	3.79E+03				
7EMI2W	2.67E+03	5.63	26.8	9.28E+03	9.34E+03	5.53	-0.1	79.4
			26.79	9.41E+03				
8EMI2W	2.67E+03	5.63	27.12	7.12E+03	7.34E+03	5.42	-0.21	61.7
			27.05	7.56E+03				
9EMI2W	4.33E+03	5.84	27.21	1.05E+04	1.07E+04	5.59	-0.25	56.2
			27.16	1.09E+04				
10EMI2W	4.33E+03	5.84	27.13	1.12E+04	1.11E+04	5.6	-0.24	57.5
			26.15	1.10E+04				
Rendement moyen pour le niveau 100 000 UG/L							-0.36	46.6
Rendement moyen Eau minérale W2 Short Protocol							-0.37	45.3



Echantillon	Valeur de dopage		C(t)	Résultat analyse			Rendement	
	UG/puits	A(log)		UG/puits	Moyenne UG/puits	B(log)	log	%
1EMI1F	3.92E+01	3.8	33.48 33.2	6.22E+01 7.76E+01	6.99E+01	3.4	-0.4	39.8
2EMI1F	3.92E+01	3.8	33.51 33.45	6.07E+01 6.39E+01	6.23E+01	3.35	-0.45	35.5
3EMI1F	6.49E+01	4.02	32.56 32.55	1.23E+02 1.24E+02	1.23E+02	3.65	-0.37	42.7
4EMI1F	6.49E+01	4.02	32.72 32.71	1.08E+02 1.09E+02	1.09E+02	3.59	-0.43	37.2
5EMI1F	3.74E+01	3.78	32.83 32.57	7.01E+01 8.65E+01	7.83E+01	3.45	-0.33	46.8
6EMI1F	3.74E+01	3.78	32.59 32.79	8.50E+01 7.25E+01	7.88E+01	3.45	-0.33	46.8
7EMI1F	6.43E+01	4.01	32.04 32.08	1.23E+02 1.20E+02	1.21E+02	3.64	-0.37	42.7
8EMI1F	6.43E+01	4.01	31.91 31.97	1.38E+02 1.31E+02	1.34E+02	3.68	-0.33	46.8
9EMI1F	6.22E+01	4	31.43 31.91	2.66E+02 2.10E+02	2.38E+02	3.93	-0.07	85.1
10EMI1F	6.22E+01	4	32.07 32.08	1.54E+02 1.41E+02	1.48E+02	3.73	-0.27	53.7

FDRS Short Protocol	Rendement moyen pour le niveau 1 000 UG/L	-0.34	47.7
---------------------	---	-------	------

Echantillon	Valeur de dopage		C(t)	Résultat analyse			Rendement	
	UG/puits	A(log)		UG/puits	Moyenne UG/puits	B(log)	log	%
1EMI2F	2.39E+03	5.58	28.25 28.2	3.64E+03 3.78E+03	3.71E+03	5.13	-0.45	35.5
2EMI2F	2.39E+03	5.58	28.18 28.2	3.97E+03 3.91E+03	3.94E+03	5.15	-0.43	37.2
3EMI2F	2.65E+03	5.63	27.75 27.71	5.61E+03 5.80E+03	5.71E+03	5.31	-0.32	47.9
4EMI2F	2.65E+03	5.63	27.83 27.86	5.27E+03 5.13E+03	5.20E+03	5.27	-0.36	43.7
5EMI2F	3.33E+03	5.73	27.33 27.28	6.54E+03 6.77E+03	6.66E+03	5.38	-0.35	44.7
6EMI2F	3.33E+03	5.73	27.16 27.2	7.51E+03 7.29E+03	7.40E+03	5.42	-0.31	49
7EMI2F	2.67E+03	5.63	27.16 27.1	6.89E+03 7.24E+03	7.07E+03	5.4	-0.23	58.9
8EMI2F	2.67E+03	5.63	26.79 26.73	9.39E+03 9.87E+03	9.63E+03	5.54	-0.09	81.3
9EMI2F	4.33E+03	5.84	27.33 27.24	9.56E+03 1.03E+04	9.93E+03	5.55	-0.29	51.3
10EMI2F	4.33E+03	5.84	27.19 27.19	1.07E+04 1.07E+04	1.07E+04	5.58	-0.26	55

	Rendement moyen pour le niveau 100 000 UG/L	-0.31	50.5
	Rendement moyen Eau minérale FDRS Short Protocol	-0.32	49.1



## Robustesse Tour aéroréfrigérante

Echantillon	Valeur de dosage		C(t)	Résultat analyse			Rendement	
	UG/puits	A(log)		UG/puits	Moyenne UG/puits	B(log)		
1TAR1	3.92E+01	3.8	33.8	4.87E+01	4.95E+01	3.2	-0.6	25.1
			33.75	5.04E+01				
			33.14	8.13E+01				
2TAR1	3.92E+01	3.8	33.2	7.78E+01	7.96E+01	3.41	-0.39	40.7
			32.88	9.53E+01				
			32.77	1.04E+02				
3TAR1	6.49E+01	4.02	32.93	9.15E+01	9.97E+01	3.5	-0.52	30.2
			32.97	8.88E+01				
			32.09	1.29E+02				
4TAR1	6.49E+01	4.02	31.96	1.43E+02	1.36E+02	3.64	-0.14	72.4
			32.01	1.38E+02				
			32.1	1.28E+02				
5TAR1	3.74E+01	3.78	31.66	1.68E+02	1.33E+02	3.63	-0.15	70.8
			31.61	1.75E+02				
			31.9	1.39E+02				
6TAR1	6.43E+01	4.01	31.91	1.38E+02	1.38E+02	3.65	-0.36	43.7
			32.51	1.54E+02				
			32.85	1.17E+02				
9TAR1	6.22E+01	4	32.58	1.46E+02	1.36E+02	3.64	-0.36	43.7
			32.62	1.41E+02				
			32.62	1.41E+02				
10TAR1	6.22E+01	4	32.62	1.41E+02	1.43E+02	3.66	-0.34	45.7

Aquadien Short Protocol

Rendement moyen pour le niveau 1 000 UG/L

-0.37 45.4

Echantillon	Valeur de dosage		C(t)	Résultat analyse			Rendement	
	UG/puits	A(log)		UG/puits	Moyenne UG/puits	B(log)		
1TAR2	2.39E+03	5.58	27.74	5.43E+03	5.49E+03	5.25	-0.33	46.8
			27.71	5.54E+03				
			28.07	4.20E+03				
2TAR2	2.39E+03	5.58	28.13	4.00E+03	4.10E+03	5.11	-0.46	34.7
			27.16	8.94E+03				
			27.12	9.25E+03				
3TAR2	2.65E+03	5.63	27.76	5.56E+03	9.09E+03	5.46	-0.17	67.6
			27.85	5.20E+03				
			26.54	1.26E+04				
4TAR2	2.65E+03	5.63	26.46	1.33E+04	1.29E+04	5.62	-0.11	77.6
			26.7	1.10E+04				
			26.84	9.80E+03				
5TAR2	3.33E+03	5.73	26.96	8.16E+03	1.04E+04	5.52	-0.21	61.7
			26.97	8.10E+03				
			26.7	1.01E+04				
6TAR2	2.67E+03	5.63	26.75	9.69E+03	9.88E+03	5.5	-0.13	74.1
			27.46	8.62E+03				
			27.38	9.18E+03				
9TAR2	4.33E+03	5.84	27.45	8.70E+03	8.90E+03	5.45	-0.39	40.7
			27.37	9.27E+03				
			27.37	9.27E+03				
10TAR2	4.33E+03	5.84	27.37	9.27E+03	8.98E+03	5.46	-0.38	41.7

Rendement moyen pour le niveau 100 000 UG/L

-0.28 54.6

Rendement moyen Tour aéroréfrigérante Aquadien Short Protocol

-0.32 50.0

Echantillon	Valeur de dosage		C(t)	Résultat analyse			Rendement	
	UG/puits	A(log)		UG/puits	Moyenne UG/puits	B(log)		
1TAR1W	3.92E+01	3.8	33.63	5.56E+01	5.59E+01	3.3	-0.5	31.6
			33.61	5.61E+01				
			33.51	6.08E+01				
2TAR1W	3.92E+01	3.8	33.55	5.91E+01	6.00E+01	3.33	-0.47	33.9
			32.14	1.05E+02				
			32.01	1.18E+02				
3TAR1W	4.95E+01	3.9	32.31	9.16E+01	1.12E+02	3.6	-0.3	50.1
			32.31	9.18E+01				
			32.28	1.11E+02				
4TAR1W	4.95E+01	3.9	32.45	9.63E+01	1.04E+02	3.57	-0.29	51.3
			32.61	8.40E+01				
			32.64	8.16E+01				
5TAR1W	4.48E+01	3.86	32	1.12E+02	8.28E+01	3.47	-0.39	40.7
			31.83	1.30E+02				
			32.42	7.83E+01				
6TAR1W	4.89E+01	3.89	32.5	7.32E+01	7.58E+01	3.44	-0.45	35.5
			32.62	1.41E+02				
			32.55	1.48E+02				
9TAR1W	6.22E+01	4	32.82	1.20E+02	1.45E+02	3.72	-0.28	52.5
			33.03	1.02E+02				
			33.03	1.02E+02				
10TAR1W	6.22E+01	4	33.03	1.02E+02	1.11E+02	3.6	-0.4	39.8

W2 Short Protocol

Rendement moyen pour le niveau 1 000 UG/L

-0.37 43.3

Echantillon	Valeur de dosage		C(t)	Résultat analyse			Rendement	
	UG/puits	A(log)		UG/puits	Moyenne UG/puits	B(log)		
1TAR2W	2.39E+03	5.58	28.13	4.12E+03	4.06E+03	5.17	-0.41	38.9
			28.17	4.00E+03				
			28.28	3.58E+03				
2TAR2W	2.39E+03	5.58	38.3	3.50E+03	3.54E+03	5.11	-0.47	33.9
			28.33	3.53E+03				
			28.21	3.89E+03				
3TAR2W	2.65E+03	5.63	28.15	4.08E+03	3.71E+03	5.12	-0.51	30.9
			28.2	3.93E+03				
			27.21	6.57E+03				
4TAR2W	2.65E+03	5.63	27.18	6.75E+03	4.01E+03	5.16	-0.47	33.9
			26.79	9.38E+03				
			26.95	8.19E+03				
5TAR2W	2.67E+03	5.63	27.42	7.14E+03	8.78E+03	5.5	-0.13	74.1
			27.26	8.14E+03				
			27.37	7.40E+03				
6TAR2W	3.43E+03	5.74	27.24	8.32E+03	7.86E+03	5.45	-0.29	51.3
			27.59	7.78E+03				
			27.58	7.82E+03				
9TAR2W	4.33E+03	5.84	27.19	1.07E+04	7.80E+03	5.45	-0.39	40.7
			27.06	1.19E+04				
			27.06	1.19E+04				
10TAR2W	4.33E+03	5.84	27.06	1.19E+04	1.13E+04	5.61	-0.23	58.9

Echantillon	Valeur de dopage		C(t)	Résultat analyse			Rendement	
	UG/puits	A(log)		UG/puits	Moyenne UG/puits	B(log)	log	%
1TAR1F	3.92E+01	3.8	33.56	5.88E+01	5.79E+01	3.32	-0.48	33.1
			33.59	5.71E+01				
2TAR1F	3.92E+01	3.8	33.16	7.99E+01	8.00E+01	3.46	-0.34	45.7
			33.16	8.01E+01				
3TAR1F	6.49E+01	4.02	32.6	1.18E+02	1.16E+02	3.62	-0.4	39.8
			32.66	1.13E+02				
4TAR1F	6.49E+01	4.02	32.54	1.25E+02	1.28E+02	3.66	-0.36	43.7
			32.47	1.31E+02				
5TAR1F	3.74E+01	3.78	32.29	1.09E+02	1.07E+02	3.58	-0.2	63.1
			32.35	1.04E+02				
6TAR1F	3.74E+01	3.78	32.74	7.51E+01	7.58E+01	3.44	-0.34	45.7
			32.72	7.65E+01				
7TAR1F	6.43E+01	4.01	32.56	8.05E+01	9.49E+01	3.53	-0.48	33.1
			32.19	1.09E+02				
8TAR1F	6.43E+01	4.01	31.82	1.48E+02	1.32E+02	3.68	-0.33	46.8
			32.12	1.15E+02				
9TAR1F	6.22E+01	4	32.47	1.58E+02	1.60E+02	3.76	-0.24	57.5
			32.44	1.62E+02				
10TAR1F	6.22E+01	4	32.67	1.35E+02	1.37E+02	3.69	-0.31	49
			32.65	1.38E+02				

FDRS Short Protocol

Rendement moyen pour le niveau 1 000 UG/L

-0.35 45.8

Echantillon	Valeur de dopage		C(t)	Résultat analyse			Rendement	
	UG/puits	A(log)		UG/puits	Moyenne UG/puits	B(log)	log	%
1TAR2F	2.39E+03	5.58	27.84	5.00E+03	4.99E+03	5.25	-0.33	47.8
			27.85	4.99E+03				
2TAR2F	2.39E+03	5.58	28.39	3.27E+03	3.13E+03	5.05	-0.53	29.5
			28.51	2.99E+03				
3TAR2F	2.65E+03	5.63	28.33	3.55E+03	3.53E+03	5.1	-0.53	29.5
			28.34	3.52E+03				
4TAR2F	2.65E+03	5.63	27.75	5.62E+03	5.40E+03	5.3	-0.33	46.8
			27.85	5.19E+03				
5TAR2F	3.33E+03	5.73	27.02	8.42E+03	8.48E+03	5.48	-0.25	56.2
			27	8.53E+03				
6TAR2F	3.33E+03	5.73	27.03	8.36E+03	8.37E+03	5.48	-0.25	56.2
			27.03	8.38E+03				
7TAR2F	2.67E+03	5.63	27.32	6.06E+03	6.14E+03	5.34	-0.29	51.3
			27.29	6.22E+03				
8TAR2F	2.67E+03	5.63	27.11	7.21E+03	7.46E+03	5.43	-0.2	63.1
			27.03	7.71E+03				
9TAR2F	4.33E+03	5.84	27.81	6.50E+03	6.95E+03	5.4	-0.44	36.3
			27.65	7.39E+03				
10TAR2F	4.33E+03	5.84	27.52	8.18E+03	8.96E+03	5.51	-0.33	46.8
			27.31	9.74E+03				

Rendement moyen pour le niveau 100 000 UG/L

-0.35 46.4

Rendement moyen Tour aéroréfrigérante FDRS Short Protocol

-0.35 46.1

## Appendix F

### Selectivity

Results from iQ-Check™ Quanti L. spp – Extension 2011 - v01 achieved by IPL santé, environnement durables Nord:

Sélectivité  
Souches cibles : *Legionella* spp.

	Souche	Origine	Taux cible inoculum (Eq UG/puits)	IQ Check Legionella spp		
				Ct (moy)	UG/puits	Détection <i>Legionella</i>
1	<i>L. pneumophila</i> ser 1	CIP 103854T	1,00E+03	33,21	105	Déecté
2	<i>L. pneumophila</i> ser 2	CHUL LG 1007 3002	1,00E+03	33,00	122	Déecté
3	<i>L. pneumophila</i> ser 3	CHUL LG 1016 2014	1,00E+03	33,19	109	Déecté
4	<i>L. pneumophila</i> ser 4	CHUL LG 1006 3010	1,00E+03	33,03	119	Déecté
5	<i>L. pneumophila</i> ser 5	CHUL LG 1008 5013	1,00E+03	33,19	106	Déecté
6	<i>L. pneumophila</i> ser 6	ATCC 33215	1,00E+03	32,98	101	Déecté
7	<i>L. pneumophila</i> ser 7	CHUL LG 1022 1105	1,00E+03	32,93	129	Déecté
8	<i>L. pneumophila</i> ser 8	CHUL LG 1009 3009	1,00E+03	33,00	123	Déecté
9	<i>L. pneumophila</i> ser 9	CHUL LG 0925 4012	1,00E+03	32,92	130	Déecté
10	<i>L. pneumophila</i> ser 10	CHUL LG 1009 2018	1,00E+03	32,63	162	Déecté
11	<i>L. pneumophila</i> ser 11	CHUL LG 0841 3021	1,00E+03	32,58	168	Déecté
12	<i>L. pneumophila</i> ser 12	CHUL LG 1009 3041	1,00E+03	32,54	174	Déecté
13	<i>L. pneumophila</i> ser 13	CHUL LG 1022 1006	1,00E+03	32,88	133	Déecté
14	<i>L. pneumophila</i> ser 14	CHUL LG 0916 4027	1,00E+03	32,57	168	Déecté
15	<i>L. pneumophila</i> ser 15	CHUL LG 0312 4049	1,00E+03	32,61	163	Déecté
16	<i>Legionella anisa</i>	CIP 103870	1,00E+03	32,21	177	Déecté
17	<i>Legionella birminghamsis</i>	CHUL HL 06284037	1,00E+03	32,21	177	Déecté
18	<i>Legionella bozemanii</i>	CIP 103872 (eq ATCC 33217) <sup>a</sup>	1,00E+03	32,66	127	Déecté
19	<i>Legionella cherrii</i>	CHUL HL 05214024	1,00E+03	32,30	166	Déecté
20	<i>Legionella cincinnatiensis</i>	CIP 103875	1,00E+03	32,09	193	Déecté
21	<i>Legionella dunmofii</i>	CIP 103876 (eq ATCC 33279) <sup>b</sup>	1,00E+03	32,26	170	Déecté
22	<i>Legionella erythra</i>	CHUL LG0713012	1,00E+03	32,67	126	Déecté
23	<i>Legionella feeleii</i>	CHUL LG07503022	1,00E+03	32,28	169	Déecté
24	<i>Legionella gormanii</i>	CHUL LG 10232007	1,00E+03	32,63	133	Déecté
25	<i>Legionella hackeliae</i>	CIP103844	1,00E+03	32,50	143	Déecté
26	<i>Legionella jordanis</i>	CHUL LG 09455020	1,00E+03	32,25	172	Déecté
27	<i>Legionella lansingensis</i>	ATCC 43751	1,00E+03	32,15	185	Déecté
28	<i>Legionella longbeachae</i>	CHUL HL 06383034	1,00E+03	32,56	137	Déecté
29	<i>Legionella maceachernii</i>	CHUL LG 09221009	1,00E+03	32,21	177	Déecté
30	<i>Legionella micdadei</i>	CIP 103882 (eq ATCC 33218) <sup>c</sup>	1,00E+03	32,31	165	Déecté
31	<i>Legionella oakridgensis</i>	CHUL LG 07122004	1,00E+03	32,60	133	Déecté
32	<i>Legionella parisiensis</i>	CHUL LG 08513015	1,00E+03	32,19	180	Déecté
33	<i>Legionella sainthelensi</i>	CHUL HL 06353004	1,00E+03	32,20	179	Déecté
34	<i>Legionella tucsonensis</i>	CHUL LG 08495014	1,00E+03	32,37	158	Déecté
35	<i>Legionella wadsworthii</i>	CIP 103886	1,00E+03	32,09	193	Déecté

<sup>a</sup>Fluoribacter bozemaniae

<sup>b</sup>Fluoribacter dumofii

<sup>c</sup>Tatlockia micdadei

## Sélectivité Exclusivité

	Souche	Origine	Taux cible inoculum  (Eq UG/puits)	IQ Check Legionella spp		
				Ct (moy)	UG/puits	Détection
1	<i>Aeromonas hydrophila</i>	Environnement	1,00E+04	N/A	-	Non détecté
2	<i>Alcaligenes faecalis</i>	CIP 60.80	1,00E+04	N/A	-	Non détecté
3	<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	CCM 1999	1,00E+04	N/A	-	Non détecté
4	<i>Burkholderia cepacia</i>	Eau de douche, La chapelle St Mesnin	1,00E+04	N/A	-	Non détecté
5	<i>Clostridium</i>	Eau de puits, Boghni	1,00E+04	N/A	-	Non détecté
6	<i>Enterobacter aerogenes</i>	Environnement	1,00E+04	49	5,17E-04	Non détecté
7	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	Eau d'alimentation, Liencourt	1,00E+04	N/A	-	Non détecté
8	<i>Flavobacterium algicola</i>	Environnement	1,00E+04	N/A	-	Non détecté
9	<i>Klebsiella oxytoca</i>	ATCC 49473	1,00E+04	45,42	7,56E-03	Non détecté
10	<i>Listeria monocytogenes</i>	CCM 5576	1,00E+04	N/A	-	Non détecté
11	<i>Proteus vulgaris</i>	Environnement	1,00E+04	43,55	3,09E-02	Non détecté
12	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	Eau d'alimentation, Lille	1,00E+04	42,7	5,83E-02	Non détecté
13	<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i>	Environnement	1,00E+04	N/A	-	Non détecté
14	<i>Pseudomonas putida</i>	Environnement	1,00E+04	N/A	-	Non détecté
15	<i>Serratia marcescens</i>	Environnement	1,00E+04	43,13	4,21E-02	Non détecté
16	<i>Stenotrophomonas maltophilia</i>	Canal de la Deûle, Lille	1,00E+04	N/A	-	Non détecté